Migrated Intrauterine Device Resulting in Severe Obstructive Uropathy
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Abstract

Intrauterine devices are one of the most common and effective versions of contraceptive. There have been many reports in the past of these devices perforating the uterus and being found in the bladder, peritoneum, and colon to name a few. In this paper we present the case of a woman who presented to the emergency department with severe hydronephrosis and associated pyelonephritis as result of intrauterine device migration into the patient’s fallopian tube causing ureter compression. Interestingly, she had also given birth to two healthy infants since having intrauterine device placed and assumed that it had fallen out years prior. To the best of our knowledge there have been very few such cases reported in the literature.
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Introduction

Intrauterine devices are a common long acting birth control known to be one of the most effective available tools for reversible contraception [1,2]. Complications related to intrauterine device insertion are rare in general and in terms of myometrium injury and uterine perforation the incidence rate is as low as 0.01% [3]. Known risk factors for intrauterine perforation include placement within 6-month postpartum period, postpartum amenorrhea, and inexperienced providers [3,4]. We present a case of hydronephrosis and pyelonephritis in a 25-year-old woman due to migration of intrauterine device into the fallopian tube causing mechanical compression of adjacent ureter. Interestingly, the patient has had multiple healthy children since placement and migration of intrauterine device.

Case Description

![Figure 1: Severe Right sided hydronephrosis with associated fat stranding consistent with pyelonephritis](image-url)
Intrauterine devices are commonly used in birth control with over 99% effectiveness and a low side effect profile. Although rare, a known side effect is intrauterine device migration outside of the uterus [5]. The migrated intrauterine device can be found in locations such as the omentum, colon, myometrium, bladder, pouch of Douglas and the retroperitoneum [5-11]. There have been many case reports in the past demonstrating intra-vesicular migration of intrauterine device and subsequent complications [6-8,10]. Obstructive uropathy related to pathologic migration of an intrauterine device is an extremely rare presentation, with very few demonstrations in previous literature [12]. Our case was made even more unique by the fact that the patient had an apparent intrauterine that had migrated within the left pelvis, possible within left fallopian tube and was compressing the left ureter (Figure 2). The patient then revealed that she had an intrauterine device placed three years ago but had assumed it had fallen out due to her two successful pregnancies following intrauterine insertion. Patient was then admitted to OB/Gyn and Urology services. Retrograde Pyelogram revealed and intact ureter with noted stricture at presumed location of intrauterine compression. At this time a JJ ureteral stent was placed by Urology. While admitted the patient received ongoing intravenous antibiotic treatment with scheduled Rocephin and improved quickly. OB/Gyn services then performed laparoscopic removal of the intrauterine device which had perforated the fallopian tube and was placing direct compression on the left ureter. The intrauterine device was removed without difficulty. The patient recovered quickly after being discharged with two-week course of outpatient Ciprofloxacin.

Discussion

Intrauterine devices are commonly used in birth control with over 99% effectiveness and a low side effect profile. Although rare, a known side effect is intrauterine device migration outside of the uterus [5]. The migrated intrauterine device can be found in locations such as the omentum, appendix, colonic lumen, myometrium, bladder, pouch of Douglas and the retroperitoneum [5-11]. There have been many case reports in the past demonstrating intra-vesicular migration of intrauterine device and subsequent complications [6-8,10]. Obstructive uropathy related to pathologic migration of an intrauterine device is an extremely rare presentation, with very few demonstrations in previous literature [12]. Our case was made even more unique by the fact that the patient had developed pyelonephritis, was successfully treated with antimicrobials, and had two successful full-term pregnancies and deliveries with the migrated intrauterine device present. This stresses the importance of removal of these migrated intrauterine devices once detected even if they are asymptomatic as they may result in future complications [5,13,14].

Conclusion

In conclusion, this case presents a rare presentation of severe hydronephrosis and associated pyelonephritis related to intrauterine device migration. This case stresses the importance of device removal if migration has occurred as well as reminding emergency physicians to have a low threshold for further investigation in women with possible intrauterine device complications.

References


