Successful use of Eltrombopag for Management of Temozolomide Related Severe Prolonged Thrombocytopenia and Aplastic Anaemia in The Treatment of Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM) in a Regional Centre: A Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract

Temozolomide is an orally administered chemotherapeutic drug used concurrently with radiation for the treatment of newly diagnosed glioblastoma. Although the addition of Temozolomide has shown to improve overall survival, it is commonly associated with haematological complications. Eltrombopag is an oral thrombopoietin (TPO) receptor agonist that is also used off-label for temozolomide-induced aplastic anaemia.

We report a case of severe prolonged thrombocytopenia in a patient with Glioblastoma Multiforme on Temozolomide. She had a significant drop in platelets from 275 to 53 with pancytopenia. Her thrombocytopenia persisted with platelet nadir of 4 × 10^9/L for several weeks even after stopping Temozolomide. Haemolytic screening and autoimmune screening were negative. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) and prednisone along with Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) matched platelets were used for support during this period. She required a prolonged hospital stay involving extended packed red cell and platelet transfusion support. There was a slight improvement in white cell and neutrophil counts, eight weeks post-cessation of Temozolomide. Bone marrow aspiration showed markedly hypocellular bone marrow aspirate suggestive of aplastic anaemia or hypoplastic Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS). Eltrombopag 50mg daily was commenced, which was tolerated well. There was a significant improvement in the thrombocytopenia within a week of initiating eltrombopag. Platelet transfusions and regular GCSF were stopped as all the parameters continued to show improvement. The platelet transfusion requirement decreased to weekly within a week of starting eltrombopag.

Eltrombopag facilitated a rapid and sustained recovery of platelet count. Our literature review suggests some evidence for the use of thrombopoietin receptor agonist in patients with chemotherapy-related refractory thrombocytopenia. But high-quality safety and efficacy data is limited while waiting for the results of randomised control trials.
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Case

We are reporting the case of a 63-year-old female who developed significant haematological toxicity related to Temozolomide, used as a part of the Stupp protocol for the treatment of Glioblastoma multiforme.
Her initial presentation was in February 2018 with generalised tonic-clonic seizure and expressive dysphasia. MRI-B revealed subacute left parietal infarction; however, glioma could not be entirely excluded. She subsequently re-presented with confusion and seizures in July 2018, and an intracranial lesion was confirmed on repeat MRI brain. She underwent stereotactic left parietal craniotomy and microsurgical excision of the tumour in October 2018. Histopathology confirmed IDH1 wild type diffuse astrocytic glioma, with retained ATRX and had high-level EGFR amplification (IDH1- Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 gene, ATRX- Alpha thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked, EGFR- Epidermal growth factor receptor). There was a TERT (telomerase reverse transcriptase) promoter mutation, trisomy 7 and monosomy 10. Based on the immuno-profile, the tumour was managed as glioblastoma as recommended by the multidisciplinary team. Her postoperative recovery was uneventful, and she remained asymptomatic. She did not have any other significant past medical history. She was a life-long non-smoker and rarely drank alcohol. Medications before commencing chemoradiotherapy included levetiracetam, targin, atorvastatin, esomeprazole, and citalopram. Levetiracetam was changed to sodium valproate a few weeks before presentation due to intolerance. Baseline blood tests were within normal limits.

The adjuvant chemoradiotherapy as per the Stupp protocol started on 07 January 2019. Temozolomide was given at a dose of 75 mg/m² daily as standard practice. Initial complications included grade 1 diarrhoea in the first month, which improved with anti-diarrheal medication. On 4th February 2019, week 5 of treatment, a significant drop in platelets from 275 to 53 was noted with stable WCC (white cell count) and neutrophil count. Temozolomide was stopped, but pancytopenia continued to worsen with platelets reaching 13 on 07 February. Due to her remote location more than 3 hours away from the closest regional hospital, she was admitted and platelet transfusions were initiated. During admission the thrombocytopenia continued, refractory to regular platelet transfusions. Haemolytic screening and autoimmune screening were negative other than a borderline ANA (Anti-nuclear Antibody) titre of 1:80 with speckled pattern.

She developed easy bruising, dizziness and non-specific visual symptoms but did not have any bleeding. Her fundoscopy was unremarkable, and repeat brain imaging remained unchanged. By 15 February 2019, she also developed neutropenia, and platelet count further decreased to 4, which prompted daily platelet transfusions. GCSF and prednisone 1 mg/kg daily were also introduced. We decided to use HLA matched platelets as recommended by the haematology team as HLA antibody was detected suspected due to poor improvement in platelet counts post-transfusion. The pancytopenia continued to remain refractory more than two weeks after stopping Temozolomide, despite the use of HLA matched platelet transfusion, GCSF and blood transfusions. The increment of platelet count post-transfusion was higher after the use of HLA-matched platelets but was still variable. Due to prolonged severe pancytopenia, she was transferred to a tertiary centre as full-time haematology cover was not available locally. She received almost daily platelet transfusions with only minimal and transient improvement in cell counts between 07 March and 21 May.

Sodium valproate was switched to lamotrigine as it can potentially increase serum temozolomide level, which could have contributed to prolonged thrombocytopenia. There was a slight improvement in white cell and neutrophil counts, eight weeks post-cessation of Temozolomide (Figure 1 and 2). Bone marrow aspiration showed markedly hypocellular bone marrow aspirate suggestive of aplastic anaemia or hypoplastic MDS supporting Temozolomide as the cause of the pancytopenia. Eltrombopag 50mg daily was commenced on 21 May 2019 as recommended by the haematology team based on literature review. Eltrombopag was tolerated well with mild fatigue as the only new symptom. There was a significant improvement in the thrombocytopenia within a week of initiating eltrombopag. The frequency of platelet transfusions dropped which made discharge planning possible. By 12 June, platelet transfusions and regular GCSF were stopped as all the cytopenias continued to show improvement. By 18th July pancytopenia had markedly improved, and both GCSF and Eltrombopag were stopped. Figure 1, Figure 2 and Table 2 show the progression of the WCC, neutrophils, platelets and Hb over time. Although the initial platelet count remained in the low normal range, most cytopenias normalised by September 2019. She continues on close observation, and the last MRI brain from January 2020 remains stable.

Figure 1: Platelets (10^9/L), WCC (10^9/L) and Hb (g/L) levels from 04 January 2019 to 15 May 2020
Although there was a clear faster and sustained recovery within a week of commencing eltrombopag, it is difficult to completely exclude the possibility of the inherent marrow recovery coinciding with the start date. The gradual improvement in the platelet count checked post platelet transfusion can be attributed to the use of HLA matched platelets. The better platelet count response post-transfusion is also likely to be related to the streamlining of the process of harvesting from the identified donors allowing the use of fresher platelets which showed better platelet increments. The platelet transfusion requirement decreased to weekly within a week of starting eltrombopag, the threshold for transfusion being a down-trending platelet count of less than 50. Improvement in pancytopenia was also noted after Eltrombopag was introduced. Of note, the platelet transfusion threshold decision included consideration of logistical factors like the patient's remote location. Although the application to commence eltrombopag was made earlier in the course of treatment, there was a significant delay in accessing the medication. The main delay was likely due to eltrombopag not being reimbursed under Medicare Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) in Australia for this indication.

**Discussion**

Temozolomide is an orally administered second-generation alkylating chemotherapeutic drug that methylates DNA and prevents tumour cell proliferation [1]. Concurrent therapy with radiation followed by monthly Temozolomide is the standard of care in adults with newly diagnosed glioblastoma. Addition of Temozolomide improved overall 2-year survival from 10.9% to 27.2% as compared to radiotherapy alone. In Stupp protocol, Temozolomide is given at a dose of 75mg/m² from day 1 to 42 with radiation. After completion of chemoradiotherapy, it is prescribed at a dose of 150 mg/m² followed by 200mg/m² depending on tolerance from day 1 to 5, every 28 days [1].

Temozolomide is commonly associated with haematological complications; 55% grade 3 or 4 lymphopenia, 4-19% thrombocytopenia, 8-14% neutropenia and 11% leukopenia [2]. Stupp et al. reported grade 3-4 leukopenia of 7% (2% in concurrent chemoradiotherapy group-CCRT, 5% in the adjuvant group). The rate of grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia was 3% in CCRT group compared to 11% in the adjuvant group with 8% overall discontinuation rate due to toxicities [2]. Other studies have also reported up to 39% overall haematological side effects [2]. In the adjuvant setting, treatment couldn't be completed in 54% of cases, with a 20% discontinuation rate in concomitant chemoradiotherapy group. Neutropenia (8%) was more common during the CCRT phase compared to the adjuvant phase (3%) [2]. Leukopenia (47.7%), and neutropenia (34.1%) were the most common adverse events as reported in another publication by Kesari et al. [3].

Drug-induced thrombocytopenia is usually immune-mediated. The transfused platelets are also vulnerable to this immune-mediated destruction, which can decrease their lifespan. Platelets express ABO antigens on their surface, as well as HLA class I antigens [4-18]. Studies have demonstrated that the administration of HLA-matched platelets improved both platelet recovery and survival. Furthermore, the degree of match can predict the success of post-transfusion platelet count increments. Platelets survive on an average of 10 days but can vary widely from 15 to 211 hours [19-23]. Hence, there is a need for frequent platelet transfusions, and the incremental response and the duration of survival of platelets can be variable requiring regular monitoring.

Since the discovery of thrombopoietin in 1994, recombinant thrombopoietin molecules like Recombinant human thrombopoietin (rhTPO), and pegylated recombinant human megakaryocyte growth and development factor (PEG-rhMGDF) were developed. Further development was stopped due to concerns over neutralising antibody formation. However, the thrombopoietin receptor agonists were noted to have less risk of antibody formation.

Eltrombopag is oral non-peptide thrombopoietin (TPO) receptor agonist that has been shown to increase the platelet count in both healthy individuals and thrombocytopenic patients with ITP, hepatitis C, or aplastic anaemia. Eltrombopag has also been used along with GCSF for temozolomide-induced aplastic anaemia with a haematological response [4].
There are also reports of the use of other thrombopoietin receptor agonists like romiplostim. There may have been concerns regarding tumour growth stimulation with the use of thrombopoietin agonists. But it is considered safe from this perspective, as studies have shown solid tumours do not possess functional thrombopoietin receptors [21-28]. Hence, these agents have been used in patients with chemotherapy-related refractory thrombocytopenia which, as a result, allowed the safe continuation of chemotherapy [5].

We conducted a literature search for all the reports and studies reporting the use of thrombopoietin agonists in the setting of chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia till 20 July 2020 in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Google Scholar and Pubmed. The reported cases and studies are summarised in Table 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/year published/ Drug</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Patient (N)</th>
<th>Indication for use</th>
<th>Chemotherapy</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-Samkari et al. [17] 2020 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Retrospective review</td>
<td>173 (153 solid tumour- most common GI)</td>
<td>Treatment of persistent CIT (&gt;3 weeks since last chemotherapy)</td>
<td>Multiple (most common Platinum, Gemcitabine, Temozolomide, Taxane)</td>
<td>Clinical benefit in 71% of solid tumor patients with achieving platelet count ≥ 75 X 109/L and at least 30X 109/L higher than baseline 98% were able to receive additional chemotherapy (median 4 cycles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Rhun et al. [15] 2019 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Phase II single-arm trial</td>
<td>20 patients with Glioblastoma</td>
<td>Treatment of CIT after CCRT (Grade 3/4)</td>
<td>Temozolomide</td>
<td>12/20 (60%) patients were able to continue treatment (6 cycles) without interruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fassel H et al. [5] 2019 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Case report in paediatric oncology</td>
<td>2 patients with Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>IV Ig and transfusion refractory CIT</td>
<td>Antineuroblastoma chemotherapy (N7 induction, rapid COJEC, IEC)</td>
<td>Allowed safe and timely continuation of chemotherapy without relapse of thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frey et al. [16] 2019 Eltrombopag</td>
<td>Randomised, double blind, Phase II</td>
<td>148 patients with AML</td>
<td>Prophylactic use (Day 4 of induction, dose escalation if Day 7 platelet count &lt;100x109/L)</td>
<td>Anthracycline-based</td>
<td>Eltrombopag did not improve the time to platelet recovery or incidences of grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia No significant difference in thromboembolic adverse events with eltrombopag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soff GA et al. [8] 2019 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Randomised phase 2 trial compared to observation</td>
<td>23 patients with Solid tumours</td>
<td>Treatment of CIT for at least 4 weeks, despite dose reduction and delay</td>
<td>Multiple regimens</td>
<td>14 out of 15 (93%) patients randomised to Romiplostim, achieved platelet count correction (≥ 100x10 9/L) within 3 weeks, - converted to single arm -44 of the total 52 (84%) patients also responded. 10.2% developed VTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Samkari et al. [18] 2018 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Retrospective analysis</td>
<td>22 with CIT or pre-existing thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>Prophylactic and treatment if Platelet count nadir &lt;100 x10 9/L with or without chemoRx</td>
<td>Multiple (most common FOLFOX)</td>
<td>18/22 (81%) were able to continue treatment without interruption or dose reduction. No thrombotic events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juliano et al. 2018-2016 [7]</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>28 patients, 22 with solid tumours, 6 with DLBC</td>
<td>Prophylaxis when platelet count &lt;80 x10 9/L</td>
<td>Platinum-based</td>
<td>1/28 patient required increased dose of Eltrombopag, all other patients achieved endpoints of avoiding Platelet Nadir&lt;50 X 109/L, transfusions, bleeding events, dose reduction or delays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton et al. [4] 2018 Eltrombopag</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>Patient with GBM</td>
<td>Treatment of prolonged aplastic anaemia (Platelet Nadir-6)</td>
<td>Temozolomide</td>
<td>Benefit noted- hematopoietic recovery at day 131 following first dose of temozolomide AE- stopped Temozolomide due to transaminitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dardis, C et al. [6] 2017 Eltrombopag Romiplostim</td>
<td>Retrospective Case Series</td>
<td>28 patients with Glioma, who developed CIT</td>
<td>Treatment for CIT (Platelets &lt;100 x10 9/L or physician discretion)</td>
<td>Temozolomide, bevacizumab, lomustine</td>
<td>27/ 28 (96%) patients responded, all patients were able to resume chemotherapy and continue for longer time at higher doses than prior to the treatment (median 32 months). AE- 1 patient had intractable itching, and 1 death (suspected PE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author/year published/ Drug</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Patient (N)</td>
<td>Indication for use</td>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>Result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winer ES et al. [14] 2017 Eltrombopag</td>
<td>Randomised placebo controlled Phase 2</td>
<td>75 (52 received Rx)</td>
<td>Treatment for CIT (pre-treatment platelet count &lt;100 x10^9/L on chemotherapy) Prophylaxis if &lt;150 x10^9/L.</td>
<td>Gemcitabine with or without combination with platinum</td>
<td>shortened the time to platelet count recovery and reduced dose delays/reductions  Lower rate of (77% vs 100%) grade 3 or more thrombocytopenia  Thrombosis (5/52 cf. 2/23 in placebo)  Hepatotoxicity (13/52 cf. 4/23 in placebo)  Total Serious AEs (16/52 cf. 12/23 in placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miao, J et al. [9] 2016 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Retrospective Case series</td>
<td>32 patients, with CIT (59% GI malignancy)</td>
<td>Treatment for CIT (Mean 68 x10^9/L )</td>
<td>Platinum-based</td>
<td>28 out of 32 (87%) patients responded, and were able to receive 2 or more cycles of chemotherapy without delays or dose reductions for thrombocytopenia, median duration 131.5 days  4 patients developed Venous thromboembolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urena LE et al. [28] 2015 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>15 patients with malignancies (11 non-hematologic)</td>
<td>Treatment for CIT median baseline platelet count - 69 x10^9/L (8-90)</td>
<td>Multiple regimens</td>
<td>87% of patients achieved response, in 11 cases with platelet counts of &gt; 100 x10^9/L. This allowed full-dose of chemotherapy. 2 patients who did not respond were on 9th line of Rx for breast cancer and 4th line for small cell cancer.  no treatment-related toxicities observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winer ES et al. [10] 2015 Eltrombopag</td>
<td>Randomised placebo controlled phase 1 study</td>
<td>26 patients planned for gemcitabine monotherapy or combination</td>
<td>Prophylaxis if platelet count ≤300 x 10^9/L.</td>
<td>Gemcitabine and platinum-based</td>
<td>14% in Eltrombopag cf. 50% in placebo arm required chemotherapy dose reductions and/or delays  Dose not escalated to &gt;100mg/day due to thrombocytosis but no dose limiting toxicity  2 cases of VTE in Eltrombopag arm were considered to be unrelated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameswaran, R. et al. [12] 2014 Romiplostim</td>
<td>Retrospective review</td>
<td>20 with predominantly solid tumours</td>
<td>Treatment of protracted CIT (&lt;100 x10^9/L for at least 6 weeks despite dose delay or reduction)</td>
<td>Multiple regimens</td>
<td>Clinical benefit in 19/20 (95%) patients (platelet count ≥ 100 × 10^9/L), 15/20 (75%) patients able to resume chemotherapy without recurrence, 14/20 (70%) patients completed more than 2 subsequent cycles of chemotherapy 3/20 developed DVT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chawla et al. [19] 2013 Eltrombopag</td>
<td>Phase I dose escalation study</td>
<td>12 with CIT in advanced soft tissue sarcoma</td>
<td>Treatment for CIT (&lt;75 x10^9/L with previous chemotherapy)</td>
<td>Doxorubicin and ifosfamide</td>
<td>Clinical benefit in 11/12 (91%) - completed at least 2 cycles of chemotherapy  Closed early due to slow recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes, et al. [26] 2013</td>
<td>Pooled Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic data</td>
<td>Combined 3 different studies (Kellum [20], Jenkins, Matthey 2010)</td>
<td>Prophylactic (Studies 1 and 2 were in healthy subjects, study 3-Kellum et al.)</td>
<td>Carboplatin/paclitaxel</td>
<td>Eltrombopag stimulated platelet precursor production, proportional to plasma Eltrombopag concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winer, E. S. et al. [11] 2012 Eltrombopag</td>
<td>Phase 1 placebo controlled</td>
<td>26 with solid tumours</td>
<td>Prophylactic/Treatment (baseline count &lt;300 x10^9/L, planned for 6 cycles of chemo)</td>
<td>Gemcitabine with or without Cisplatin or Carboplatin</td>
<td>Well-tolerated and improved platelet count compared to placebo especially in combination arm (platelet nadir 53 compared to 113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>WCC</td>
<td>Neutrophil</td>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>Remark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 January 2019</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 January 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Commenced Concurrent ChemoRadiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 January 2019</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Developed grade 1 diarrhoea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 January 2019</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 February 2019</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 February 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stopped dexamethasone due to severe esophagitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 February 2019</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>Temozolomide stopped, asymptomatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 February 2019</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 February 2019</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Admission and First Platelet Transfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 February 2019</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Tx- when Platelet &lt;10 (almost Second daily platelet transfusion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 February 2019</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Developed bruising, rashes but no bleeding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Literature search for the use of thrombopoietin agonists in the setting of chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia

### CURRENT TRIALS

- **NCT03937154**
  - Phase 3 Randomized Placebo-controlled Double-blind Study of Romiplostim for the Treatment of Chemotherapy-induced Thrombocytopenia in Patients Receiving Chemotherapy for Treatment of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC), Ovarian Cancer, or Breast Cancer - estimated completion date: 26 February, 2023

- **NCT03362177**
  - RECITE: A Phase 3 Randomized Placebo-controlled Double-blind Study of Romiplostim for the Treatment of Chemotherapy-induced Thrombocytopenia in Patients Receiving FOLFOX-based Chemotherapy for Treatment of Gastrointestinal or Colorectal Cancer - estimated completion date: 18 December, 2022
The PLATUM trial used Romiplostim in patients who developed grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia related to Temozolomide during the chemoradiotherapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma [15]. Out of 20 patients enrolled, 12 (60%) were able to complete the six cycles of maintenance Temozolomide and four patients discontinued due to progression or unrelated adverse events. 2 (10%) patients did not respond to Romiplostim. Dardis et al. reviewed 28 patients with chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia while receiving treatment for glial tumours (glioblastoma, astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma). Either eltrombopag or romiplostim was started at the discretion of the treating physician but typically when platelets count had fallen below 100 X 10^9/L. 95% of patients were able to recommence chemotherapy and continue treatment. The patient who did not respond had previously received chemotherapy for leukaemia during childhood. There was one sudden unexpected death suspected to be pulmonary embolism, although no autopsy was performed [6].

Al-Samkari et al. recently published a study which retrospectively evaluated 170 patients with CIT who were treated with Romiplostim [17]. 150 patients had solid tumours, predominantly gastrointestinal malignancies. 71% of patients achieved a Romiplostim response which was defined as the achievement of platelet count ≥ 75 X 10^9/L and ≥30 x 10^9/L above baseline. Furthermore, 79% avoided dose reductions or delays and 89% avoided platelet transfusions. 10% response rate was seen in patients with non-myeloid haematological malignancy with bone marrow involvement. Seven patients developed venous thromboembolism (VTE) without associated thrombocytosis, and no arterial thromboembolic events occurred.
In 2016, Juliano published results from a study of 22 patients, at high risk of chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia (CIT) who received low dose eltrombopag as prophylaxis. CIT was prevented in 21 out of 22 patients in this study [7]. Soff et al. also reported a phase II, open-label trial of romiplostim in solid tumour patients with at least four weeks of thrombocytopenia (<100 X 10^9/L), despite reduction or delay in chemotherapy [8a,8b]. Romiplostim effectively reversed CIT, allowing for resumption and maintenance of cancer-directed therapy in the majority of patients [8]. Natale et al. [13] and Frey et al. [16] reported two separate randomised placebo-controlled phase II trials testing Romiplostim in treatment of CIT in NSCLC and Eltrombopag in the prophylactic use in patients being treated for AML respectively. Both studies did not demonstrate beneficial impact on platelet count related efficacy endpoints. A Cochrane systematic review looked at the current evidence for the use of TPO agonist in chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia and found limited quality evidence [16].

Thrombopoietin receptor agonists can be associated with venous thrombosis and the induction of marrow fibrosis [24]. Also, there have been concerns that due to the promotion of hematopoietic stem cells, it might also promote growth or survival of malignant cells. These have limited the widespread adoption of the thrombopoietin agonists in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. Although Eltrombopag is more convenient due to its oral form, there is no study comparing it with Romiplostim. However, from our review, the trials studying romiplostim reported less thrombotic events and hepatotoxicity. There are multiple randomised phase 3 clinical trials currently in recruiting phase investigating the use of Romiplostim in the setting of chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia in NSCLC, ovarian cancer, breast cancer, (NCT03937154) and gastrointestinal cancer (NCT03362177) expected to complete by the end of 2022 or early 2023.

Conclusion

While there have been multiple retrospective and smaller randomised studies looking at the use of thrombopoietin agonists in CIT, the role and indications for their use remains unclear. Thrombocytopenia is one of the commonest dose-limiting side effects with cytotoxic chemotherapy, including Temozolomide. This case report highlights one of the potential specific indications where thrombopoietin agonist can be of great help as rescue therapy in marked prolonged CIT. But the associated risk of the side effects, including hepatotoxicity and thromboembolic complications, should also be taken into account. Although multiple publications support the use of TPO agonists, the consideration of publication bias is also essential. Hence, more quality evidence is required to be able to estimate the benefits compared to the potential toxicities. However, as more clinical trials are underway, we should have more evidence in this area to guide our clinical practice in the near future.

Learning Points

- Temozolomide can cause marked prolonged haematological toxicity which can be very challenging to manage.
- Eltrombopag provided a fast and sustained recovery from chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia
- There was a delay in receiving eltrombopag as it is not listed on the PBS for this indication despite shared pay program from the drug company.
- Literature review suggests some evidence for the use of thrombopoietin receptor agonists in patients with CIT but high-quality safety and efficacy data still lacking.
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