

Review Article Open Access

## Latent Fingerprint on Human Skin: A Silent Diagnosis

## Upadhyay S\* and Yadav B

Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry & Forensic Sciences, Amity School of Applied Science, Amity University, Gurugram, Haryana, India

\*Corresponding author: Upadhyay S, Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry & Forensic Sciences, Amity School of Applied Science, Amity University, Gurugram, Haryana, India, E-mail: shikhasivi@gmail.com

Citation: Upadhyay S, Yadav B (2019) Latent Fingerprint on Human Skin: A Silent Diagnosis. J Forensic Sci Criminol 7(2): 206

#### **Abstract**

Fingerprints are one of the most valuable evidence due to their uniqueness. Human skin is one of the most useful as probative evidence, but one of the most difficult surfaces to develop fingerprints. This review presents a discussion on the existing methods of fingerprint lifting from human skin. Physical contact between the culprits and the victims are quite common and therefore, it is desirable for investigators to develop a method that help in development of latent fingerprints from the victim's skin. The main hindrance in developing fingerprints from skin is the presence of common chemical substances in both i.e. in prints and on surface. The utility of the different development methods has been tested or successful development of fingerprints, such as alternative light sources, Swedish black powder, iodine/silver transfer, cyanoacrylate, X-ray method, iron powder and RTX technique. The comparative analysis has been presented in this review article for all the exists method with their merits and demerits.

Keywords: Latent impressions; Chemical method; Powder method; Fingerprint recovery; Fingerprint lifter

#### Introduction

Fingerprints are patterns formed by the raised papillary ridges on fingertips, which contain rows of pores that connect to sweat glands. The basic patterns of fingerprints are loops, whorls and arches that can be found in fingerprints. Fingerprints have been the gold standard for personal identification within the forensic community [1-3].

Fingerprint patterns are genetically determined and remain unchanged from birth till death. They are unique, immutable, universal, easy to classify. Fingerprints collected from a crime scene can be used to identify suspects, victims and other persons who touched the surface [4].

Latent prints are the most viable fingerprints amongst patent and plastic prints as these are not visible to the naked eye, and substantially consist of only the natural secretions of human skin.

Also, there is impact of location on the latent fingerprint development as porous surfaces (Human skin, paper, fabric, unfinished wood, etc.) are more difficult as compared to non- porous surfaces (Glass, plastic, finished wood etc.). In the past, powder dusting, ninhydrin dipping, iodine fuming and silver nitrate soaking were the most commonly used techniques for latent print development. These traditional techniques are quite effective for many surfaces. However, these traditional methods for latent print detection are not always effective and scientists have attempted to improve the existing methods for the visualization of latent prints [5].

Skin is the most critical substrate for the recovery of fingerprints, due to constant renewal, regeneration and elasticity of the skin and also due to regulation of body temperature, perspiration removes latent prints, environmental factors etc. [1].

Therefore, in the historical era (1970-1990), efforts have been put to develop and standardize a reliable method for fingerprint determination by FBI (1970) but in most of the cases, it is possible under ideal laboratory conditions [1,4]. Several methods, including lasers, alternate light sources, iodine/silver transfer, cyanoacrylate fuming, regular and fluorescent powders, specially formulated powders, Swedish soot powder mixture, regular and fluorescent magnetic powders, liquid iodine, iodine/silver transfer, RTX (Ruthenium tetroxide) and ninhydrin etc. have been explored for the development of fingerprints from the human skin [6]. The alternate methods have also been exercised to reveal the latent prints like glue fuming in conjunction with regular magnetic fingerprint powder and iodine/silver transfer method. The study was conducted to summarize all the available information about the fingerprint development on human skin in literature.

## Methodology

The data for review was collected from the published research articles available on scientific resource sites like PubMed, Sciencehub, and Researchgate etc. This review paper covers the scientific enhancement on the fingerprint development from 1976-2018. The techniques utilized for latent fingerprint development have been compared on the basis of their utility and result (development) parameters along with their merits and demerits (Table 1).

| Sr.No. | Technique Type       | Technique Name                      | Reference |
|--------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1      | Powder Method        | Black powder                        | 16,15     |
|        |                      | Magnetic powder                     | 1,3       |
|        |                      | Swedish black powder                | 3,9       |
|        |                      | Fluorescent powder                  | 18,16     |
|        |                      | Silver powder                       | 18,16     |
|        |                      | White powder                        | 18,21     |
|        |                      | Grey powder                         | 18,16     |
| 2      | Chemical Method      | Ninhydrin                           | 21,6      |
|        |                      | Cynoacrylate fuming                 | 2,10,19   |
|        |                      | Iodine fuming                       | 16        |
|        |                      | RTX-Ruthenium tetroxide             | 6         |
|        |                      | Iodine/Silver nitrate method        | 20        |
| 3      | Miscellaneous Method | X-rayfluorescence radiography       | 12        |
|        |                      | Alternatelight source               | 12        |
|        |                      | Photographic paper lift technique   | 1,7       |
|        |                      | Surface enhanced raman spectroscopy | 17        |

 Table 1: Methods compared for the Fingerprint development from the human skin

#### Result and Discussion

#### **Analysis Methodology**

The standard methodology used by fingerprint experts to conduct friction ridge examinations is called ACE-V (analysis, comparison, evaluation, and verification) comprising of four fundamental phases utilized in this process [7]. For analysis -substrate (the surface the print is on), matrix (the fingerprint substance), development medium (process to develop), deposition pressure (force used to deposit) and lateral pressure (slipping/twisting movement) are considered [8]. The analyzed samples if worth, processed for the comparison [7,8].

#### Fingerprint development on human skin

**Techniques Employed:** More than 40 common methods have been reported for retrieving fingerprints from crime scenes. Hebrard and Donche [1994] have achieved positive results of fingerprint recovery from human skin. In recent year, Rozman *et al.* [2014] have also achieved positive results of fingerprint recovery from human skin by fingerprint powder [3].

Fingerprint powders (Magnetic Jet Black, Magnetic Silver, Silver Special and Swedish Black) and chemical methods (i.e., Cyanoacrylate Fuming and Ruthenium tetroxide– RTX) have been extensively studied for the latent fingerprint development from human skin [1,7]. The comparative studies for these methods have been given in Table 2.

| S.No | Method           | Developer                | Result                     | Live and dead                       | Reference |
|------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.   | Powder Method    | Magnetic jet black       | Best result                | Both                                | 7         |
|      |                  | Magnetic silver          | Poor-no result             | Both                                | 7         |
|      |                  | Silver special           | Poor-no result             | Both                                | 7         |
|      |                  | Swedish black            | Best result                | Best result Both                    |           |
|      |                  | Granular black           | Failed-poor result         |                                     | 11        |
|      |                  | Lightning grey           | Failed-poor result         |                                     | 11        |
|      |                  | White powder             | Failed-poor result         |                                     | 11        |
|      |                  | Magnetic jet black       | Most suitable- best result |                                     | 11        |
| 2.   | Chemical methods | Cyanoacrylate fuming     | Poor result                | Failed on dead(due to condensation) | 7         |
|      |                  | Ruthenium tetroxide- RTX | Best result                | Both                                | 7         |

Table 2: Comparison between chemical and powder method for development of finger marks on the skin

The comparative analysis of powder method and chemical methods in these studies indicated that Swedish Black, Magnetic Jet Black powder and RTX spraying are the preferred methods to obtain satisfactory results [7] and in addition a few studies advocated the use of luminescent magnetic powder in conjunction with cyanoacrylate fuming and laser examination to recover fingerprints from human skin surfaces [9].

For the cynoacrylate, fingerprint recovery was addressed with consideration on realistic surfaces and the technique was reported to be the best method for use on these surfaces [2,4,10].

Further, Baran [2009] compared four fingerprint powders (i.e., granular black, lightning grey, white powder, and magnetic jet black) to detect fresh and sebum-enriched fingerprints deposited on the skin [11]. The Magnetic Jet Black powder was considered to the most optimum for use on fresh marks (Table 2) [11].

However, Graham (1969) has successfully utilized X-ray methods like X-ray fluorescence radiography (XFR) and Black – scatter radiography for the fingerprint development [12].

The impact of body temperature was also analyzed and it was observed that the recovery rate is more from cryo-preserved body (4 °C) than the cadaver (37 °C) preserved body at room temperature. The recovery rate is further reduced for live volunteer [13].

**Collection and Preservation:** Finger marks can either be processed directly on the skin or lifted prior to being processed [3]. There are various methods for fingerprint collection like Mastercraft Silicone, AccuTrans, Zhermack High Definition, Transparent Instant Lifter, Microfilm for microtrace, Fuji glossy paper and silver plate etc.

For lifting and visualization, Trapecar M [2007] compared four fingerprint lifters (Transparent Instant Lifter, Microfilm for microtrace, Fuji glossy paper and silver plate.) for untreated fingermarks from skin. Transparent Instant lifter was concluded as a better method, comparatively for such prints (Table 3) [1].

| S.No | Lifter                     | Result                     |  |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 1.   | Transparent Instant Lifter | Most suitable- best result |  |
| 2.   | Microfilm for microtrace   | Failed-poor result         |  |
| 3.   | Fuji glossy paper          | Failed-poor result         |  |
| 4.   | Silver plate               | Failed-poor result         |  |

 Table 3: Comparison of four different lifters used for untreated fingermarks from human skin

Another study conducted by Baran [2009] compared three different lifting materials (i.e., Mastercraft Silicone, AccuTrans and Zhermack High Definition) to collect powdered fingermarks (using Magnetic Jet Black) from pig skin [11]. The comparative analysis of this study has been given in Table 4 and the best results were obtained by Mastercraft Silicone [11].

| Sr.No. | Lifter                       | Powder              | Skin  | Result      | Reference |
|--------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| 1.     | White instant lifter         | Swedish black power | Human | Poorest     | 14        |
| 2.     | White gelatin                | Swedish black power | Human | Best result | 14        |
| 3.     | Black gelatin                | Swedish black power | Human | Poor result | 14        |
| 4.     | Silicone casting material    | Swedish black power | Human | Best result | 14        |
| 5.     | Transparent adhesive tape    | Swedish black power | Human | Poorest     | 14        |
| 6.     | Mastercraft silicone casting | Magnetic jet black  | Pig   | Best result | 11        |
| 7.     | AccuTrans                    | Magnetic jet black  | Pig   | No result   | 11        |
| 8.     | Zhermack high definition     | Magnetic jet black  | Pig   | No result   | 11        |

Table 4: Comparative chart of five lifting methods skin of living bodies, using Swedish Black powder on human skin and Magnetic Jet Black on pig skin

In contrary, Trapecar [2009] projected Silicone casting material and white gelatin as good alternatives with Swedish Black powder for living bodies after analyzing five lifting methods (white instant lifter, white gelatin, black gelatin, silicone casting material, transparent adhesive tape) whereas white instant lifter and transparent adhesive tape were found to give poorest results (Table 4) [3,14]. It can be concluded that different lifters are suitable in different conditions for collection of fingerprint.

**Comparison of Development methods:** Large numbers of the development methods have been studied for the fingerprint development on the skin. Magnetic Jet Black and Swedish Black were found to be the best powder methods for the development of the fingerprints on human skin.

Magnetic Jet Black and Swedish Black are the most preferred powder methods as indicated by the studies and RTX is the best chemical method to develop the fingerprints from both living and dead bodies. The studies have shown that the magnetic Jet black gives much better results than the Black powder method [15]. The comparative analyses of these methods as observed in the literature have been given in Table 2.

#### Conclusion

As a result of this evaluation, it can be concluded that fingerprint development of the human body is possible, but the recovery rate is low [16-20]. There are many methods by which we can develop fingerprints on human skin. Powder method is basic and least complicated method but not useful on wet latent fingerprint. In most of the cases, the Cyanoacrylate fuming method is used and is recommended method that develops clear, stable, white colored fingerprints but other methods like Ruthenium tetroxide – RTX can be used effectively, which are efficient and non-destructive. Magnetic powder can be explored to recover any possible latent prints on the victim's skin. However, most of these methods suffer from the recovery time constrains and are influenced by factors like decomposition, environment and circumstantial conditions and longevity of latent prints on skin [21-23].

### References

- 1. Trapecar M, Balazic J (2007) Fingerprint recovery from human skin surfaces. Sci Justice 47: 136-40.
- 2. Olenik JH (1984) Super glue\* a modified technique for the development of latent prints. J Forensic Sci 29: 881-4.
- 3. Rozman KB, Trapecar M, Dobovsek B (2014) Fingerprint recovery from human skin by finger powder. J Forensic Sci Criminol 2: 301.
- 4. Wargacki SP, Lewis LA, Dadmun MD (2008) Enhancing the quality of aged latent fingerprints developed by superglue fuming: loss and replenishment of initiator. J Forensic Sci 53: 1138-44.
- 5. Rakesh K. Garg, Harish K, Ramanjit K (2011) A new technique for visualization of latent fingerprints on various surfaces using powder from turmeric: A rhizomatous herbaceous plant (Curcuma longa). Egyptian J Forensic Sci 1: 53-7.
- 6. Ivan RF (1996) Hidden evidence latent prints on human skin. FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin 65: 21-4.
- 7. Fortunato Susan L, Gary W (1998) Development of latent fingerprints from skin. J Forensic Identif 48: 704.
- 8. Champod C, Lennard C, Margot P, Stoilovic M (2007) Fingerprints and other ridge skin impressions (2nd Edn.) Boca Raton: CRC Press, USA.
- 9. Delmas BJ (1988) Postmortem latent print recovery from skin surfaces. Journal of Forensic Identification 38: 49-56.
- 10. Wilkinson DA, Watkin JE (1993) Europium aryl- $\beta$ -diketone complexes as fluorescent dyes for the detection of Cyanoacrylate developed fingerprints on human skin. Forensic Sci Int 60: 67-79.
- 11. Baran M (2009) Lifting fingerprints from skin using silicone. Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal 42: 121-31.
- 12. D Graham (1969) Some technical aspects of the demonstration and visualization of fingerprints on human skin. J Forensic Sci 14: 1-12.
- 13. Shin DH, Argue DG (1976) Identification of fingerprints left on human skin. J of the Canadian Forensic Science 9: 2.
- 14. Trapecar M (2009) Lifting techniques for finger marks on human skin previous enhancement by swedish black powder a preliminary study. Sci Justice 49: 292-5.
- 15. Doris F, Andrea S, Hans-Joachim W, Michael B (2010) Recovery of latent fingerprints and DNA on human skin. J Forensic Sci 55: 1457-61.
- 16. Helen LB (2007) Fingerprint power guidline. HOSDB Publication , Publication No. 09/07.
- 17. West MJ, Went MJ (2008) The spectroscopic detection of exogenous material in fingerprints after development with powders and recovery with adhesive lifters. Forensic Sci Int 174: 1-5.
- 18. Reichardt JGC, Stone E (1978) A conventional method for lifting latent fingerprints from human skin surfaces. J Forensic Sci 23: 1.
- 19. Hebrard J, Alain D (1994) Fingerprint detection methods on skin: experimental study on 16 live subjects and 23 cadavers. J Forensic Identif 44: 623-31.
- 20. DA Wilkinson, JE Watkin, AH Misner (1996) A comparison of techniques for the visualization of fingerprints on human skin including the application of iodine and Alpha-Naphthoflavone, J Forensic Identif 46: 432-53.
- 21. Bettencourt DS (1991) A compilation of techniques for processing deceased human skin for latent prints. J Forensic Identif 41: 111-20.
- 22. Edwards WF (1980) Electrostatic technique for extraction of Fingerprints from the human skin, Technique for latent prints on deceased. Fingerprint World (Brit) 5:19.
- 23. Jetty van G 2018) Single-molecule peptide fingerprint, PNAS.

# Submit your next manuscript to Annex Publishers and benefit from:

- **Easy online submission process**
- > Rapid peer review process
- Online article availability soon after acceptance for Publication
- Open access: articles available free online
- More accessibility of the articles to the readers/researchers within the field
- > Better discount on subsequent article submission

Submit your manuscript at http://www.annexpublishers.com/paper-submission.php