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Abstract

Asthma is a common chronic airway disease affecting about 334 million people worldwide, and an estimated 7 million children globally. Approximately 10% of patients with asthma have severe refractory disease, which is uncontrolled despite using high doses of inhaled corticosteroids and other modifiers. Among these patients are patients with eosinophilic asthma. Eosinophilic asthma is a phenotype of asthma that is usually very severe and persistent, with frequent exacerbations. It is characterized by the presence of high levels of eosinophils, and CD4+ Th2 cells in the lungs and airways. Eosinophils play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis and severity of asthma. Most patients with eosinophilic asthma respond to the classic stepwise guided treatment. However, 50% of patients with eosinophilic asthma are refractory to corticosteroids and long-acting β2-agonists. Currently, there are targeted personalized biologics for these patients. These biotherapeutics include IgE, interleukin and interleukin receptor monoclonal antibodies, they have added advantageous steroid-sparing effects.
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Introduction

Asthma is a complex chronic airway disease with several distinct phenotypes with different immunopathological pathways, clinical presentation, physiology, comorbidities, biomarker of allergic inflammation, and response to treatment [1-4]. There are several distinct proposed asthma phenotypes, such as childhood-onset allergic asthma, adult-onset eosinophilic asthma, neutrophilic asthma, exercise-induced asthma (EIA), obesity-related asthma, and aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD) [5-7]. Severe asthma represents about 5-10% of patients with asthma, and about 50% of these patients present with eosinophilic asthma [8-10]. The guidelines on the definition, evaluation and treatment of severe refractory asthma including eosinophilic asthma are given in detail by the American Thoracic Society (ATS) [11], and the European Respiratory Society (ERS) [12]. Severe asthma is defined by the joint ERS/ATS according to the following criteria [12]:

1. Requirement with high-dose inhaled corticosteroids and a second controller (and) or systemic corticosteroid to maintain control.
2. Refractory to treatment mentioned above.
3. Incomplete management of comorbidities such as sinus disease and obesity.

Table 1: American Thoracic Society Criteria for Severe/Refractory Asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with continuous or near continuous (&gt;50% of the year) oral corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for treatment with high-dose inhaled corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need for additional daily treatment with controller medication (long-acting β2-agonist, leukotriene receptor antagonist, theophylline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma symptoms needing short-acting β2-agonist use on a daily or near daily basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent airway obstruction (FEV1&lt;80% predicted, diurnal peak flow variability &gt;20% predicted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more urgent care visit for asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three or more oral steroid bursts per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prompt deterioration with &lt;25% reduction in oral or inhaled corticosteroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near fatal asthma event in past</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagnosis: one major criterion plus two minor criteria; other diseases should have been excluded, exacerbating factors treated, and patient is generally adherent
In the Proceedings of the ATS Workshop on Refractory Asthma the delegates agreed on two major and seven minor criteria for the diagnosis of severe refractory asthma. The criteria for established diagnosis of severe asthma include fulfilling one or both major criteria and at least two minor criteria [11]. The ATS criteria for the diagnosis of severe refractory asthma are listed in Table 1.

Severe refractory asthma includes eosinophilic asthma, characterized by frequent exacerbations, poor quality of life, and unresponsiveness to standard treatment with inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs), long-acting β2-agonists (LABAs), and leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs) [4,12,13].

It has now become part of clinical practice to use biomarkers to stratify asthma phenotypes. Biomarkers in asthma are very useful in stratifying asthma into different phenotypes, reflect the predominant immunopathological mechanisms, help to predict future risks, and identify patients who are more likely to benefit from personalized biologics, such as interleukin (IL)-5, IL-4/13, and thymic stomal lymphopoietin (TSLP) monoclonal antibody therapy [14].

### Eosinophilic Asthma

Eosinophilic asthma is one of the well-defined clinical phenotype of asthma [6-8,10]. This phenotype of asthma is usually observed in adult asthmatic patients after 20 years or later, although it may occur in children [8,10]. It is a debilitating, severe and persistent disease, with frequent exacerbations and a worse quality of life, and has a poor prognosis [7-10,12]. Eosinophilic asthma is associated with more urgent visits to emergency rooms, hospitalization and intubation [13], and a high morbidity and a history of a near-fatality asthma in about 23% of the patients [11]. Patients with eosinophilic asthma experience persistent airflow limitation, air trapping [15], and severe symptoms despite the use of high-dose ICSs, and LABAs [11,12,16]. They have frequent exacerbations and are dependent on oral corticosteroids. This subgroup of patients impart a disproportionate pharmaco-economical burden [17], with the mean UK annual treatment costs reaching between £2912 and £4217 per patient [18].

Eosinophilic asthma is characterized by a high blood eosinophil count (≥300/μL; 0.300 x 10^3/L), and in induced sputum (≥ 2%-3%), airway eosinophilia infiltration [19-21], and high IgE (total IgE ≥ 200 kU/L) [22,23], fraction exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) [24,25], and periostin serum levels [26-28]. FeNO measurement is esteemed to be particularly useful to identify high Th2 activity. An official ATS clinical practice guideline recommends that high FeNO ≥ 50 ppb in adults and > 35 ppb in children can be used to indicate eosinophilic inflammation in subjects not on corticosteroid therapy [25].

In more than 50% of patients with persistent airway eosinophilia, both blood and sputum eosinophilia are associated with worse disease control, frequent exacerbations, and poor prognosis [7-10,12]. The eosinophilia assessed by sputum eosinophil count, often correlates with the severity of the disease [7-10]. Several studies have shown that the risk of severe exacerbation can be reduced by treatment with targeted precision biologics that minimized airway eosinophilia [29-31]. Thus, assessment of sputum eosinophil count can be used as a diagnostic marker and to monitor treatment [30-32].

Periostin is an extracellular matrix protein belonging to the fasciclin 1 family that has been implicated in many diseases. It is a downstream molecule of interleukin 13 airway inflammatory pathway. This pleotropic protein has been demonstrated to be increased in patients with a number of clinical conditions associated withincreased cell division, cell turnover, invasion, and angiogenesis, including cancers [33]. Therefore, serum periostin levels should be interpreted in the context of the clinical seting, and in conjunction with other biomarkers of eosinophilic inflammation, such as blood eosinophil counts.

However, serum periostin levels are good biomarkers of eosinophilic inflammation, and serum periostin levels can also be used to monitor response to treatment with biologics, such as omulizumab in patients with severe asthma [34].

### Clinical Features

Eosinophilic asthma is a severe refractory disease which occurs in about 4% of adult patients with asthma [10,19], and it has no gender preponderance in the distribution [10]. It usually manifests in early adulthood with a peak incidence between 20 to 35 years [7,10,35]. The classical symptoms include recurrent episodes of wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness, and cough. The symptoms are usually worse at night (nocturnal asthma). Cough is a frequent symptom particularly in children and it may be mistaken for an upper respiratory infection, or bronchiolitis. Precipitating factors include viral upper respiratory tract infection (e.g., rhinovirus), exercise particularly in cold weather, exposure to pollutants such as cigarette smoke, SO2, NO2, and ozone, and medications (Table 2). The drugs which can precipitate asthma include beta-blockers, even when administered topically as eye drops, e.g., timolol for glaucoma, aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and angiotensin converting enzyme-inhibitors. Other medications include oral contraceptives, cholinergic agents, and prostaglandin F2α [10].
Table 2: Causes and precipitating factors for eosinophilic asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes and precipitating factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viral upper and lower respiratory infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocyanate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colophony fumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritant dusts, vapours and fumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food anaphylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrimps, peanuts, wheat allergy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β2-blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeroallergens (rare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass pollen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic pet dander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockroaches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a tremendous variation in the frequency and duration of the attacks. Some patients have one or two severe attacks per year, but the majority of the patients have frequent exacerbations [9,10], and some patients have severe chronic disabling symptoms [35]. Patients with mild asthma are usually asymptomatic between exacerbations, whereas patients with persistent asthma have symptoms of breathlessness and wheeze most of the time, particularly in early mornings. Nocturnal asthma is frequently reported by patients with eosinophilic asthma [13].

Apart from displaying the above clinical features, patients with eosinophilic asthma have unique clinical presentation, which differ greatly from those of the classical symptoms of childhood-onset, allergic asthma. This phenotype of asthma is less likely to be precipitated by allergens, such as grass pollen, pet dander allergens, and Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus in contrast to childhood-onset asthma [36]. Exercise-induced asthma is more common in patients with eosinophilic asthma compared to other phenotypes of asthma, and patients with eosinophilic or late-onset asthma are very sensitive to aspirin, and may have comorbid aspirin exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD) [37]. Patients with eosinophilic asthma are very susceptible to angiotensin converting enzyme-inhibitors (ACE-Is), and usually develop severe dyspnea, and bronchospasm with treatment with angiotensin converting enzyme-inhibitors [38].

Clinically the disease is very severe with frequent exacerbations to near fatal asthma which requires frequent emergency room visits and intubations [17-21]. Patients with eosinophilic asthma have a poor quality of life and worse prognosis [17-21].

Eosinophilic asthma is often associated with chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyposis [39,40]. Nasal symptoms and CT imaging of sinonasal involvement correlates with the severity of asthma, sputum eosinophil count and airflow limitation [40]. Medical and surgical treatment is associated with improvement in asthma control [41]. Other concomitant disorders such as gastroesophageal reflux disease [42], and obesity [43], may make the asthma control difficult, and these comorbidities need to be treated [42,44].

Patients with eosinophilic asthma have persistent airflow obstruction characterized by constantly very low forced expired volume in 1 second (FEV1). As a result of fixed low FEV1, and FEV1/FVC ratio, eosinophilic asthma may be misdiagnosed as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Additionally, they have a higher bronchial responsiveness to methacholine compared to controls subjects and to patients with neutrophilic asthma.

Laboratory investigations reveal marked eosinophilia in peripheral blood, induced sputum [13,14,16], and bronchial biopsy [13]. The eosinophilic infiltration most commonly affects the peripheral airways, and is associated with higher expression of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) [45], subepithelial fibrosis and airway remodeling [46]. The structural changes also include loss of elastic recoil with increased lung compliance, most pronounced in peri-bronchiolar area, resulting in irreversible small airways obstructive disease [47]. This may lead to chronic airflow obstruction and severe refractory asthma which is unresponsive to corticosteroids [13,47].
Eosinophilic asthma is difficult to treat with the standards-of-care asthma control medicines and requires the use of chronic oral corticosteroids, thus, it is also referred to as corticosteroid-refractory disease or “difficult-to-treat asthma” [4,10]. The patients may require large doses of oral corticosteroids (OCSs) which may be associated with the numerous serious side-effects from the glucocorticoids, such as adrenal suppression, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolaemia, weight gain, osteoporosis, cataract and glaucoma [48,49].

**Biomarkers of Eosinophilic Asthma**

In the era of targeted precision medicine, it has now become clear that biomarkers are essential in the diagnosis and management of different phenotypes of asthma. Biomarkers in asthma can help stratify asthma into different phenotypes, reflect the predominant immunopathological mechanisms [50], help to predict future risks [51], and identify patients who are more likely to benefit from targeted personalized interleukin monoclonal antibodies therapy (IL-5, IL-4/13, and TSLP) [51-53]. The Th2-driven eosinophilic asthma biomarkers currently used include sputum and blood eosinophil counts, total serum IgE, the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), peristin, and dipetidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) [14,50-57].

**Sputum Eosinophil Count**

Elevated sputum eosinophil counts are associated with severe asthma and increased risk of exacerbations [58]. Sputum eosinophil count correlates partially with FeNO and blood eosinophil numbers [59], and both markers can be combined in the diagnosis of eosinophilic asthma. Measurement of eosinophils in induced sputum and bronchoalveolar (BAL) fluid has been shown to be a reliable biomarker of airway eosinophilic inflammation, and induced sputum is considered as the gold standard non-invasive method for assessing airway inflammation in asthma to identify inflammatory phenotypes [60]. The recent ERS/ATS guidelines suggest that induced sputum can be used in the management of severe asthma in specialized centres with a dedicated laboratory [12]. Furthermore, the guidelines recommend using sputum eosinophil counts to adjust corticosteroid therapy [12]. Cowan et al. [61], have shown that sputum eosinophilia ≥3% predicts response to corticosteroids, thus, sputum eosinophil count can be used to stratify patients into eosinophilic asthma or non-eosinophilic asthma for guided personalized treatment with biologics. Sputum count is a validated biomarker for initiating biotherapeutics in patients with eosinophilic asthma [62]. Anti-IL-5 mAbs, namely mepolizumab [63,64], and reslizumab [65] decreased exacerbations and improved the quality of life in patents with sputum eosinophilia greater than 3%. Similarly, dupilumab, a targeted mAb against IL-4Ra that modulates the IL-4/13 pathway improved asthma control and pulmonary function in asthma patients with sputum eosinophilia (≥3%) or blood eosinophil count of ≥300 cells/µL [66].

**Blood Eosinophil Count**

Blood eosinophil count is an established biomarker of severe eosinophilic asthma [67,68]. Patient with eosinophilic asthma have a raised peripheral blood eosinophilia (≥300 cells·µL⁻¹); 0.300 x 10⁹/L, and other biomarkers, including high expression of Th2 cytokines (IL-4, IL5, and IL-13) [69]. A high blood eosinophil count can be used as a marker for eosinophilic inflammation, and correlates well with poor asthma control, increased risk of exacerbations, and re-hospitalization [67,70-73]. Patients with eosinophilic asthma have a high risk of airflow obstruction, and an enhanced longitudinal decline in lung function [74,75]. They exhibiting both local and systemic eosinophilic inflammation, and have more severe asthma reflected by lower baseline pulmonary function (FEV1) [75]. They have higher bronchial hyperresponsiveness to methacholine, poor asthma control and quality of life, and a greater number of exacerbation in the year preceding measurement of blood eosinophil count and FeNO [67,71]. Analysis of patients from the Optimum Patient Care Database (OPCRD) in the UK by Price and colleagues, revealed that patients with a high blood eosinophil count (≥0.300 x 10⁹/L) and higher FeNO (≥250 ppb) were four-times more likely to have severe exacerbations compared with patients with low eosinophil count and low FeNO [67]. Therefore, combination of blood eosinophil count and FeNO may be even a stronger markers of exacerbation risk compared with individual biomarker [67]. Both markers may be required to select patients with poor sensitivity to ICS who may require a more targeted, personalized approach of therapy [67]. Konradsen et al. [76], have also reported that paediatric patients with blood eosinophilia (≥300 cells·µL⁻¹) have more severe asthma, more exacerbations, lower FEVI/FVC ratios, and airway hyperresponsiveness. There is a consistent relationship between blood and sputum eosinophilia in patients with eosinophilic asthma [59], and both markers are complemenary in the diagnosis of eosinophilic asthma.

Blood eosinophil count is readily available and inexpensive biomarker of eosinophilic asthma. It can serve as diagnostic marker to stratify different asthma phenotypes [77]. It can also be used as a prognostic biomarker and direct response to several therapeutic interventions in asthmatic patients with type 2 inflammation [77]. A baseline blood eosinophil threshold of 150 cells·µL⁻¹ or greater or a historical blood eosinophil threshold of ≥300 cells·µL⁻¹ or greater will allow selection of patients who are more likely to achieve clinically significant improvement with treatment with ICSs, and anti-interleukin mAbs (e.g., anti-IL-4, anti-IL-5, anti-IL-13, and anti-TSLP) [78]. Blood eosinophil counts can predict responsiveness to inhaled corticosteroids and can be used to taper the dosage of OCS, such as prednisone [79].

Baseline blood eosinophil count is useful as a biomarker to stratify patients for treatment with interleukin monoclonal antibodies, such as anti-IL-4 antibodies for mopelizumab [68,80], and reslizumab [81], anti-IL-5 receptor antibody for benralizumab [82], and...
anti-IL-4 receptor antibody for dupilumab [83]. The cut-off of blood eosinophils count is 300 cells·µL\(^{-1}\) for most biologics, except the reslizumab (400 cells·µL\(^{-1}\)) [84]. There is a range of responses at different blood eosinophil levels, and patients with higher blood eosinophil levels (≥300 cells·µL\(^{-1}\)) tending to have a better response to treatment [84,85].

Blood eosinophilia is a superior assessment for detection of airway eosinophilia in patients with asthma [86], and can be used to stratify the different phenotypes asthma [86]. It is easy to obtain and correlates well with sputum eosinophilia [88], and in combination with other biomarkers such as FeNO can predict the risk of asthma exacerbations [67,89]. However, the concern is that the optimal threshold has yet to be standardized. Moreover, eosinophil levels may be elevated due to co-existing conditions, such as hypereosinophilic syndromes, and parasitic infestations, including helminthiases, schistosomiasis and filariases, thus limiting its use as a predictive biomarker of eosinophilic asthma.

**Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide**

Nitric oxide plays an important role in lung immunobiology. It is a bronchodilator [90], vasodilator and an inflammatory mediator [91]. Gas phase nitric oxide is produced in the lung by nitric oxide synthase (NOS) during the conversion of the amino acid L-arginine to L-citrulline [92]. The biomarker fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) is produced by airway epithelial cells by inducible nitric oxide synthase upregulation during allergic inflammation [93]. The levels of FeNO reflect indirectly the inflammatory responses in the airways [25,93,94]. FeNO is a useful method for indirectly assessment of eosinophilic airway inflammation in adults [25,67,94-96], and in children [97].

Fraction exhaled nitric oxide concentration greater than 50 ppb is a marker for eosinophilic airway inflammation, and predicts the likelihood to respond to corticosteroids [98,99]. In some patients, high levels of FeNO concentration are considered a risk for exacerbations and poor disease control in adult patients treated with ICS [100-102], and may identify patients with poor response to ICSs who may benefit from targeted personalized biotherapeutics. Although both FeNO concentration and blood eosinophil count are elevated in patients with eosinophilic asthma, they only show modest correlation reflecting different activation of the Th2-driven inflammatory pathways [96,103]. Price et al. [67], using patients’ data from the Optimum Patient care Database (OPCRD) in the UK [104], have reported that patients with higher FeNO (≥50 ppb) and a high blood eosinophil count (≥0.300 x 10\(^9\)) were four-times more likely to have had severe exacerbations compared with patients with low FeNO (<25 ppb) and low eosinophil levels (<0.300 x 10\(^9\)) in the year preceding the FeNO readings. They have demonstrated that the combination of high blood eosinophil count and FeNO may be an even stronger marker of exacerbation risk in patients with eosinophilic asthma compared with individual biomarkers. Because of the variations in the measurements of FeNO, it is suggested that it should be used to complement other biomarkers, particularly blood eosinophil count in the diagnosis of eosinophilic asthma [67].

The American Thoracic Society cutoff level of FeNO is commonly used in clinical practice [25]. The high FeNO cutoff has been set at ≥50 ppb and the low cutoff at <25 ppb [25,105,106]. The ATS recommend FeNO thresholds of 25-50 ppb in adults and 20-35 ppb in children, and the results should be interpreted with caution and with reference to the clinical context [25].

Fractional exhaled nitric oxide can be used to diagnose steroid-responsive disease and guide asthma management in routine care [95,99]. FeNO can also be used to stratify patients who are more likely to respond to interleukin monoclonal antibodies. Patients with an FeNO level ≥50 ppb have been shown to have a positive response to mepolizumab [105], and to benralizumab [85].

Fractional exhaled nitric oxide is a useful surrogate biomarker of eosinophilic airway inflammation and offers the advantage of being non-invasive and easy to obtain. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [107], and the British Thoracic Society [108], recommend FeNO measurements to guide diagnosis and treatment of eosinophilic asthma. In the UK primary care practices, FeNO monitoring is being used to guide decision on ICS usage or step-up therapy [67]. In addition, the 2019 Global Initiative for Asthma strategy report, recommends the use of FeNO and/or blood eosinophil count to determine the phenotype of asthma, and for selection of biologics for personalized guided treatment [109]. Thus, composite, non-invasive biomarkers, such as FeNO and easily obtainable blood eosinophil count may provide insight into a patient’s risk of exacerbations as well as guide asthma treatment [67,109].

**Serum Periostin**

Periostin, also termed as osteoblast-specific factor 2, is an extracellular matrix (ECM) protein belonging to the fascilin 1 family [110-113], with a molecular weight of about 90-kDa [111,114]. Periostin acts as a matrix protein involved in cell activation by binding to its specific receptors, and several integrins (αvβ3, αvβ5, α4β6, and αMβ2) [115,116], which are promigratory periostin receptors [116]. Periostin has been implicated in many multisystem diseases [114,117-120], and cancer [121,122].

Periostin secretion is stimulated by IL-13, and IL-4, and plays an important role in the pathogenesis of allergic inflammation, especially Th2-driven eosinophilic asthma [112,113,115]. Expression of POSTN, the gene that encodes human periostin is inducible by transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, IL-13, and IL-4 [123]. The periostin gene, together with CLCA1 (chloride channel regulator) and serpinB2, are highly differentially expressed in the bronchial epithelial cells of patients with asthma [124]. Similarly, Woodruff et al. [125], noted that, the gene expression for periostin is highly expressed in bronchial tissues of patients with asthma.
Periostin is mainly produced from the basolateral membranes of airway epithelial cells [123], and to a lesser extent from the lung fibroblasts [113,115,123]. In patients with asthma, periostin has been shown to be involved in eosinophil recruitment [126,127], goblet cell metaplasia and mucus secretion [128]. Periostin also contributes to increased airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness [130], and leads to an accelerated decline in pulmonary function, despite taking high-dose ICS [131-133].

Periostin deposition in the airways is responsible for airway remodeling and potentially changes the biomechanical properties of the airway [134]. Kanemitsu et al. [132], in a 20 year follow-up study, have shown that periostin deposition in the airway subepithelium of patient with asthma was associated with a decline in lung function (low FEV1) over 20 year. It has been suggested that periostin is responsible for collagen deposition in the subepithelial membrane, leading to subepithelial fibrosis, and the response is IL-13, and IL-4 cytokine-dependent [135]. Similarly, Izuhara and colleagues [136], have reported that increase in periostin deposition leads to thickening of the basement membrane. This is due to localization of perisotin with other ECM proteins, such as collagen I, III and V and tenascin, which may lead to production of subepithelial fibrosis in patients with eosinophilic asthma [136].

Several studies have reported high serum levels of periostin in patients with eosinophilic asthma compared to control subjects [24,25,27,123,124]. Serum periostin levels have been suggested as surrogate markers of Th2-driven eosinophilic airway inflammation [24-28,127,136]. In the BOBCA study of several biomarker in eosinophilic asthma, Jia et al. [27], reported that, periostin was the best predictor of airway eosinophilia in patients with severe asthma that was uncontrolled despite maximal ICS treatment. Furthermore, several studies have recommended serum periostin concentration as a pharmacodynamics biomarker of eosinophilic asthma [59,136-138]. Serum periostin levels show good correlations with blood or sputum eosinophilia [138], and with FENO,and it can be used as a composite marker to identify severe/steroid-insensitive asthma [139].

Serum periostin levels can be used to stratify patients into different phenotypes of asthma who can respond to the personalized targeted biotherapeutics [140-142]. Serum periostin levels were able to stratify patients who could respond to lebrikizumab an IgG4 humanized monoclonal antibody that specifically binds to IL-13 and prevents IL-13-induced heterodimerization with its receptor complex (IL-4Ra/IL-13Rα1 and signaling [141,142]. Similarly, it has been shown that serum periostin is a predictive biomarker of response to omalizumab, a recombinant humanized antibody that selectively bind to free IgE [143].

**Serum Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4**

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) also known as cluster of differentiation 26 (CD26) is a glycoprotein with a molecular weight of about 110-kDa and composed of 766 amino acid residues [144,145]. It is present on the surface of cell membranes and expressed in many tissues, and in peripheral blood as a soluble protein [144,145]. It is mainly present in endothelial cells, submucosal glands, lung epithelial cell, and T cells [146]. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 expression is increased in airways of patients with asthma, and other diseases with type 2 inflammation, including atopic dermatitis and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps [147]. DPP-4 has been shown to be a stimulator of proliferation of bronchial smooth muscle cells (BSMCs) [148,149], and human fetal lung fibroblasts, and it promotes the production of fibronectin [149]. DPP-4 mRNA expression can be induced in *vivo* by IL-13 stimulation of human bronchial epithelial cell from asthmatic patients, and in cultured BSMCs [149]. Elevated membrane DPP-4 expression has also been observed on T lymphocytes from asthmatic patients [150].

Upregulation of DPP-4 may regulate immunological pathway implicated in asthma by inactivating chemokines and growth factors involved in the pathogenesis of asthma [151]. Similar to periostin, DPP-4 is induced by IL-13 and other profibrotic agents, and can be measured in serum, making it a potential biomarker to guide IL-13 mAb therapy in patients with eosinophilic asthma [147,152]. Brightling et al. [153], in phase 2b tralokinumab clinical trial, have reported that increased serum DPP-4 levels predict a beneficial response to tralokinumab, an anti-IL-13 mAb, in terms of alleviating symptoms, reducing exacerbations, and improving pulmonary function. However, James et al. [154], have shown that serum DPP-4 levels did not correlate with FEV1, FeNO, blood or sputum eosinonils or IgE in asthmatic patients from the U-BIOPRED and BIOAIR studies. This may indicate that periostin is associated with other Th2 pathways in the pathogenesis of eosinophilic asthma. Streicher et al. [155], have provided a method of treating IL-13 mediated diseases or disorders comprising administration of anti-IL-13 antagonists. They recommend initiation of anti-IL-13 biologics in patients whose blood DPP-4 is above threshold (>250 ng/ml) in patients with eosinophilic asthma, and other IL-13 mediated diseases [155]. There is also evidence that DPP-4 may serve as a biomarker for aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease [156].

The potential of DPP-4 in the pathogenesis of eosinophilic asthma is of clinical importance because of the increasing use of DPP-4 inhibitors in the management of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, some of whom may have concomitant asthma. Colice et al. [157], reported that there was no difference in asthma control between asthmatic patients initiated on DPP-4 inhibitors and patient not on the inhibitors. This is at the moment good news for eosinophilic asthmatic patients who have also type 2 diabetes mellitus on DPP-4 inhibitors.

DPP-4 has a broad spectrum of biological functions, such as immune regulation, inflammation and infection, glucose homeostasis, cardiovascular functions, and cancer biology. Recently, DPP-4 has been identified as a serum biomarker for colorectal cancer detection and as a prognostic marker for the cancer [158,159], DPP-4 is also an novel potential diagnostic marker for gastric cancer [160].
Treatment

The goals of asthma treatment is to achieve disease control. Poor control is linked with recurrent asthma attacks which is associated with poor future control [161]. It has become part of clinical practice to manage patients with severe refractory asthma according to established guidelines, such as the BTS/SIGN guidelines [108,109], or the ERS/ATS guidelines [12]. Drugs for the standard management of patients with asthma are listed in Table 3. Patients with uncontrolled asthma should have their treatment intensified by escalating up the treatment steps until control is achieved for at least 3 months [108,162].

Corticosteroids are the mainstay therapy for patient with severe, recurrent disease [163]. However, about 10-20% of the patients remain uncontrolled despite high doses of inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs) up to 2000 μg/day, and require the use of chronic oral corticosteroids (OCSs) [12]. It is important to try to achieve control by tailoring the dosage of oral steroids to avoid steroid-associated adverse effects. High dose OCSs or ICSs may be associated with systemic side-effects such as skin thinning, ecchymosis, reduced bone mineral density, cataract, glaucoma and adrenal insufficiency [48,49].

Patients with severe eosinophilic asthma or corticosteroid-refractory asthma, may not achieve control even with high-intensity treatment with ICSs and/or daily OCSs combined with long-acting β2-agonists or any other controller medication [54]. They require innovative additional or alternative therapeutic agents in order to achieve disease control [53-55]. The underlying pathophysiology of eosinophilic asthma is airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness due to inflammatory mediators release by activated Th2 lymphocytes, ILC2s, mast cells, dendritic cells, and eosinophils. The best strategy for the treatment of eosinophilic asthma is to suppress the production, proliferation, differentiation and activation of eosinophils, which play a pivotal role in the airway inflammatory process [164]. Th2 interleukins, particularly, IL-5, IL-4, IL-13, IL-33, and TSLP play an important role in fostering eosinophilic function and survival, and in eosinophilic airway inflammation. Another strategic approach to treat eosinophilic asthma is to block the interleukins and their receptors, which propagate eosinophilic airway responses [51-55].

During the past two decades, alternative biotherapeutic agents have been developed which are particularly targeted and tailored for the treatment of eosinophilic asthma [52-57,165]. They include monoclonal antibodies against IgE, interleukin mABs, and interleukin receptor(ILR) mAbs. Table 4 shows the list of some of the interleukin mAbs and ILR mAbs currently available, and in clinical trials for the treatment of eosinophilic asthma, and corticosteroid-refractory asthma.

Table 3: Drugs for standard treatment of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhaled β2-agonist</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short acting (salbutamol, levalbuterol, terbutaline, pirbuterol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-acting (salmeterol, formeterol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of LABA and inhaled corticosteroids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmeterol and fluticasone (Advair Diskus)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formoterol and budesonide (Symbicort)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromlyn sodium, nedocromil sodium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled anti-cholinergics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-acting (ipratropium bromide)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-acting (oxitropium bromide, tiotropium bromide)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New long-acting (achidium bromide, glycopronium)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betamethasone dipropionate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budenoside, fluticasone, flunisolone, HFA- flunisolide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciclesonide, mometasone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral methylxanthines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid release theophyllines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained release theophyllines (Theo-24, Theocron, Uniphyl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-acting PDE-4 inhibitor (Roflumilast)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous theophylline (Aminophylline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukotriene receptor antagonists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montelukast, pranlukast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinalukast, zafirlukast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-lipoxygenase inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zileuton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Immunoglobulin E plays an important role in the pathophysiology of the allergic inflammation in patients with asthma. Monoclonal antibodies targeted against IgE, such as omalizumab have been shown to attenuate both the early- and late-phase responses to inhaled allergens in patients with asthma [166].

Omalizumab (Xolair®) was the first monoclonal antibody to be approved by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of severe asthma in 2003. Xolair is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody to IgE, and is directed against the binding of IgE for its high affinity FcεR1 receptor [167]. It binds with the Fc portion of IgE and forms omalizumab:IgE complex. This reduces free IgE and prevents serum IgE from attaching to the FcεR1 receptors on mast cells, basophils and eosinophils. This prevents release of inflammatory mediators by these cells. In addition, omalizumab treatment indirectly reduces FcεR1 receptor on cells involved in the allergic responses [167].

Clinical trials using omalizumab treatment in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma have shown reduction in airway and blood eosinophils counts, and reduction in the frequency of exacerbations in patients with eosinophilic asthma [168,169]. Treatment with subcutaneous omalizumab has also been shown to improve asthma control, and improve health-related quality of life (HRQoL). It has also been shown to reduce the need for rescue medication, allow patients to reduce or discontinue their ICSs and/or OCSs [168,169].

Xolair is given subcutaneously every two to four weeks depending on the patient’s allergy status and body weight. It has an excellent safety profile. Patients need to be monitored for severe allergic reactions after the injection at a medical centre where health care professionals are available to treat the adverse reactions. It is safe and well tolerated. The most common side effects include injection site reaction, respiratory tract infection, pharyngitis, sinusitis, arthralgia, myalgia, muscle weakness, headache, and rarely anaphylaxis. Unfortunately, some patients with eosinophilic asthma do not get symptom relief with the addition of omalizumab to their treatment regimen, and may require an add-on treatment with another biologic, which target other airway inflammatory pathways.

**Mepolizumab**

Mepolizumab (Nucala®) is a fully humanized IgG1κ monoclonal antibody, it binds to IL-5 and prevents binding of IL-5 to the α-chain of the IL-5 receptor complex expressed on the eosinophil cell membrane [170]. This results in inhibition of IL-5 signaling and reducing production, differentiation, activation and survival of eosinophils. Mepolizumab also selectively inhibit eosinophilic inflammation by preventing release of inflammatory mediators from the eosinophils. It was the first anti-IL-5 therapy to be tested in a clinical trial in 2000 [171]. The first clinical trial of mepolizumab in patients with asthma showed a reduction in sputum and blood eosinophil count but no change in bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and no effect on the late asthmatic response. Subsequent clinical trials, including the DREAM study, in patients with eosinophilic asthma and severe exacerbation showed that treatment with mepolizumab resulted in reduction in exacerbation rates, and improvement in asthma symptom questionnaire (ACQ) scores, and the asthma quality of life questionnaire (AQLQ) scores [172]. In the MENS study, Pavord and colleagues [173], showed that treatment with intravenous (IV) or subcutaneous (SC) mepolizumab decreased the rate of exacerbations by 47% and 53% respectively, and reduced exacerbations requiring emergency room visits or hospitalization by 32% for IV and 61% for SC mepolizumab. In addition, patients in both IV and SC mepolizumab groups showed significant improvement the FEV1, quality of life, and asthma control as assessed by the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and the ACQ-5. The SIRUS study in patients with severe asthma and peripheral blood eosinophilia while on maintenance corticosteroid revealed that, patients on mepolizumab had a likelihood of reducing corticosteroid-dose 2.37 times greater than patients on placebo [174]. Patients on mepolizumab were also to reduce the corticosteroid dose by 50%, and had lower exacerbation rates and improved asthma control despite receiving lower doses of ICSs or OCSs, thus demonstrating a steroid-sparing effect [174]. In summary, treatment with mepolizumab has been shown to improve the ACQ scores, SGRQ scores, FEV1, reduce the rate of exacerbations, and reduce the

---

**Table 4: Monoclonal antibodies and interleukin receptor antagonists, and their target**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Stage of Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Omalizumab</td>
<td>IgE</td>
<td>Marketed 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mepolizumab</td>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>Marketed 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reslizumab</td>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>Marketed 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benralizumab</td>
<td>IL-5R</td>
<td>Marketed 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dupilumab</td>
<td>IL-4a (IL-4/IL-13)</td>
<td>Marketed 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tezepelumab</td>
<td>TSLP</td>
<td>Marketed 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitrakinra</td>
<td>IL-4a (IL-4/IL-13)</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebrikizumab</td>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tralokinumab</td>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brodalumab</td>
<td>IL-17RA</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secukinumab</td>
<td>IL-17A</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
IL-13 receptor subunits [187]. IL-4 and IL-13 are key cytokines that contribute to the Th2-driven eosinophilic inflammation that the IL-4Rα chain and the IL-13Rα1 chain and mediate signaling to both IL-4 and IL-13 [187]. Dupixent inhibits both IL-4 and Dupilumab (Dupixent®) is a fully humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody to the IL-4 receptor. The IL-4 receptor is composed of

Reslizumab

Reslizumab (Cinqair®) was approved by the FDA on March 23, 2016 as an add-on maintenance therapy in adult patients with severe asthma. It is a humanized monoclonal antibody that target IL-5. The monoclonal antibody has an ERRR configuration (glutamine, arginine, arginine, arginine) corresponding to amino acids 89-92 on the IL-5 antibody molecule. This region is critical for its interaction with the IL-5 receptor which results into inhibition of its bioactivity [175]. Clinical trials with reslizumab have shown significant decrease in sputum eosinophil count, and to improve asthma control questionnaire scores [176]. In the subsequent studies, reslizumab treatment has been shown to improve the FEV1 as early as 4 weeks after initiating therapy [177,178]. It also resulted in larger reductions in exacerbation rates, especially in patients who had repeated exacerbations 12 months prior to the initiation of therapy. The treatment also reduced the use of rescue inhalers. Bjermer and associates [179], have shown that treatment with reslizumab resulted in significant increase in pulmonary function, improvement in self-reported asthma control, and quality of life.

The approved dosage for reslizumab is 3 mg/kg intravenously over 20-50 minutes every 4 weeks for patients 18 years and above [180]. It is safe and well tolerated by the patients. The most common side effects of Cinqair include headache, nasopharyngitis, myalgia, and fatigue. Anaphylaxis occurs in about 0.3% of the patients [72], and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration recommends that patients should be observed in a setting where health care professionals are available to treat the adverse reactions. Eosinophils play an important in combating helminth infections. The advice from the manufacturer is that, treat patients with pre-existing helminth infections before initiating Cinqair. If patients become infected while receiving treatment with reslizumab and do not respond to anti-helminth treatment, discontinue treatment with reslizumab until infection resolves.

Benralizumab

Benralizumab (Fasenra™) is a fully humanized IgG1K afucosylated monoclonal antibody to a subunit of the IL-5 receptor on eosinophils. Antibody binding uniquely leads to attraction of natural killer cells and apoptosis of these cells through cell-mediated cytotoxicity, and dramatically reduces their numbers and eosinophilic inflammation. Preliminary studies have shown that treatment with benralizumab resulted in a decrease in blood eosinophil count to almost depletion, reduction in the rate of exacerbations, and improvement in the ACQ-5 scores [181,182]. The SIROCCO study showed that treatment with benralizumab significantly reduced exacerbation rates, and improvement in lung function, and asthma control in patients with severe asthma uncontrolled on high-dose inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β-agonists [183]. Fitzgerald and colleagues in the CALIMA study, and the pooled analysis of the SIROCCO and CALIMA studies, revealed that treatment with subcutaneous benralizumab 30 mg every 4 weeks resulted in a 36% reduction in exacerbations, and a significant increase of 125 ml in FEV1 [184,185]. In the Phase III oral corticosteroid-sparing trial, ZONDA, benralizumab treatment resulted in up to 51% reduction in annual asthma exacerbation rate (AAER) versus placebo. There was also a significant improvement in lung function as measured by FEV1. The FEV1 increased by 159 ml, and the improvement in lung function was seen as early as 4 weeks after the initiation of the treatment [184]. Noteworthy, there was a 75% median reduction in daily OCS use and discontinuation in 52% of the eligible patients [186].

Fasenra was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on November 14, 2017, as add-on therapy for people with severe eosinophilic asthma aged 12 years and older, and those whose asthma is not controlled with current asthma medication. Benralizumab is available as a single-dose pre-filled syringe. The recommended dose is 30 mg/ml injection subcutaneously every 4 weeks for the first three doses then every eight weeks. It is well tolerated with adequate safety profile [184]. The most common adverse effects of Fasenra include headache (8.6%), pharyngitis (4%), arthralgia (3.9%), cough (3.3%), injection site reaction (2.2%), and urticaria rash. It is not known if Benralizumab will influence helminth infestation or response to anti-helminth treatment. The manufacturers recommend treatment of the parasitosis before initiating Fasenra, and if patients become infected while receiving Fasenra and do not respond to anti-parasitic agents, to discontinue benralizumab until the infection resolves.

Dupilumab

Dupilumab (Dupixent®) is a fully humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody to the IL-4 receptor. The IL-4 receptor is composed of the IL-4Ra chain and the IL-13Ra1 chain and mediate signaling to both IL-4 and IL-13 [187]. Dupixent inhibits both IL-4 and IL-13 receptor subunits [187]. IL-4 and IL-13 are key cytokines that contribute to the Th2-driven eosinophilic inflammation that...
lead to moderate-to-severe asthma. In the clinical trials, treatment with dupilumab administration subcutaneously was associated with reduction in inflammatory biomarkers including fraction exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), serum immunoglobulin E (IgE), and eotaxin-3 (CCL26) [188]. Dupixent has been shown to significantly reduce severe exacerbations by 67%, improve lung function (FEV1) by 29%-33%, reduce morning and evening symptoms, and improve asthma control in patients with moderate-to-severe eosinophilic asthma. The FEV1 was improved after 2 weeks of treatment and was maintained through week 12, despite the patients not taking LABA and inhaled corticosteroids [189]. In Phase 2b trial, dupilumab administration subcutaneously demonstrated a reduction in the daily use of oral corticosteroids by 70% compared 42% with placebo. More than half of the patients treated with the drug completely eliminated the use of oral corticosteroids [190]. Dupilumab was approved by the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use of the European Medicines Agent (EMA) on October 19, 2018, at 5.55 PM, as an add-on maintenance therapy in patients with moderate-to-severe asthma, and eosinophilic asthma aged 12 years and older. It is also approved by the U.S. Food and Drug administration, and for patients with oral corticosteroid-dependent asthma. Dupixent is available as a single-dose pre-filled syringe and is administered subcutaneously under the guidance of a healthcare provider. It comes in two doses (200 mg and 300 mg) given on alternative weeks at different injection sites after an initial loading dose.

On the other hand, dupilumab treatment results in significant improvement in disease activity and improves general health-related quality-of-life in adults with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis [191]. It is approved by the FDA for the treatment of adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. The most common adverse effects of dupilumab include injection site reaction, upper respiratory tract infection, nasopharyngitis, pruritus, herpes labialis, and other herpes simples infection, eye and eyelid inflammation, conjunctivitis, keratitis, and rarely anaphylaxis. Patients should be observed after the treatment in a setting where health care professionals are available to treat the adverse reactions. It is not known if dupilumab will influence the immune response against helminth infection. Treat patients with pre-existing helminth infections before commencing Dupixent. If patients become infected while receiving while receiving dupilumab and do not respond to anti-helminth treatment discontinue treatment with dupilumab until the parasitosis resolves.

### Tralokinumab

Interleukin-13 signaling plays an important role in the pathogenesis of asthma, and pharmacological agents have been developed to target its activities. The IL-13 receptor is a complex assembly of both IL-4 and IL-13 receptor subunits. Tralokinumab is a humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody to IL-13, it is currently in phase III clinical trials. In the STRATOS 1 clinical study, which enrolled 1202 patients with uncontrolled asthma, tralokinumab 300 mg administered subcutaneously reduced annual asthma exacerbation rates in participants with an FeNO higher than 37 ppm. However, in the STRATOS 2 clinical trial which enrolled 856 patients with inadequately controlled despite use of ICSs (500μg per day fluticasone), there was no change in the primary endpoints [192].

On the happy note, tralokinumab induces significant clinical improvement in moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis [193]. It is expected to compete very effectively with Dupixent in the treatment of atopic eczema, which is a common comorbid disease with eosinophilic asthma.

### Lebrikizumab

Lebrikizumab is a humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody that binds to IL-13 and blocks its action. In the LAVOLTA I and LAVOLTA II studies which enrolled 1081 and 1067 patients respectively with poorly uncontrolled asthma, treatment with lebrikizumab 37.5 mg and 125 mg subcutaneous injection significantly reduced exacerbation rates in patients with high perostin levels (> 50 ng/ml), but not in patients with normal or low periostin levels [194]. However, pooled data did not consistently show significant reduction in asthma exacerbation rates in biomarker-high patients, and clinically relevant changes could not be ruled out. Periostin is a downstream IL-13-induced protein derived from the airway epithelial cells, and it may be useful in monitoring patients with eosinophilic asthma. Probably, lebrikizumab may be suitable in some patients with severe eosinophilic asthma with elevated periostin levels.

### Tezepelumab

Tezepelumab is a first-in-class human anti-thymic stomal lymphopoietin mAb, which inhibits the inflammatory activity of TSLP. Blocking TSLP may prevent release of pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL4, IL-5, IL-13, and IL-33 from Th2 cells, ILC2s, mast cells, dendritic cells, basophils, and eosinophils, and attenuate the inflammation process. Due to its multiple pathways in the inflammatory cascade, tezepelumab may be suitable for a broad population of patients with severe uncontrolled asthma irrespective of patient phenotype or Th2 biomarker status [195]. In PATHWAY Phase 2b clinical trial, tezepelumab given every four weeks subcutaneously at doses of 70 mg (low), 210 mg (medium); and 280 mg (high) every two weeks, was shown to result in significant improvement in Asthma Control Questionnaire-6 (ACQ-6) scores, and AQLQ scores at medium and high dosages [196]. It was also shown to reduce asthma exacerbations by 62%, compared to placebo, and improvement in prebrachodiator FEV1 [196]. These effects were observed independent of baseline eosinophil count or other Th2 inflammatory biomarkers. It was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on September 7, 2018 at 02.00 ET, for the treatment of moderate-to-severe uncontrolled asthma in adults. The common adverse events of tezepelumab, include nasopharyngitis, bronchitis, and headache.
Other Biological Agents

There are several biologics currently in clinical trial targeting the broad range of interleukins and other cytokines, such as pitrakinra, brodalumab (Siliq), and secukinumab (Cosentyx). Brodalumab is an IL-1RA mAb, and secukinumab is an IL-17 mAb, both are targeted at IL-17 a signature cytokine for neutrophilic asthma [197-199]. Siliq and cosentyx have only been approved for the treatment of plaque psoriasis [200,201]. Additionally, secukinumab is a preferred treatment for patients with comorbid psoriatic arthritis or arthralgia symptoms, due to its ability to inhibit progression of arthritic disease [200].

When initiating targeted biologics for patients with clinically established eosinophilic asthma, it important to start the treatment before the disease becomes chronic with fixed airflow limitation. The GINA [202], and British Thoracic Society, Scottish Intercollegiate [203], guidelines recommend initiation of anti-IgE at step 5, whereas the Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR 3) guidelines recommends add-on treatment with anti-IgE biologics at step 5 and 6 [204]. Patients who should be recommended to use the novel biologics at an earlier step include patients with biomarker documented eosinophilic asthma, and any other patient with severe asthma or recurrent exacerbations. Another group of patients who are likely to benefit from mAbs and ILRAs therapy include patients with corticosteroid-refractory asthma, and those with chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps. Early use of these agents might avoid the usage of large doses of oral corticosteroids, and reduce the serious adverse effects due to corticosteroids.

Conclusion

Asthma is a complex heterogenous chronic airway disease characterized by airway inflammation, hyperresponsiveness, and airway remodeling. There are several different phenotypes of asthma which include eosinophilic asthma. Eosinophilic asthma is a very severe refractory disease with recurrent exacerbations, poor quality of life, and has a worse prognosis. It is difficult to treat with the current stepwise therapy including oral corticosteroids. Alternative tailored treatment for this subgroup of patients include blockade of the cytokine inflammatory mediators such as IL-5, IL4, IL-13, IL-33, and TSLP, which orchestrate and perpetuate the inflammatory response. Biomarkers such as sputum and blood eosinophil counts, FeNO, serum periostin and DPP-4 levels are very useful in stratifying the phenotypes of asthma for targeted personalized treatment with biologics. The newly introduced biotherapeutics for the treatment of eosinophilic asthma include IgE monoclonal antibodies, e.g. omalizumab, and interleukin and interleukin receptor antagonists such as mepolizumab, benralizumab, dupilumab and reslizumab. These agents have been shown to improve the asthma control questionnaire scores, reduced the rate of exacerbations, improve pulmonary function, and the quality of life. They also reduce the dose or the need for ICSs or OCSs when used as an add-on treatment in patients with eosinophilic asthma.
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