

# Narrative Review of Challenges and Considerations in Resuming Elective Gynaecology Surgery in Climate of Continuing Community Transmission of Covid-19 in a Low Resource Setting

Bako A\*, Bayo AI and Younis MA

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Women's Wellness and Research Center (WWRC), Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar

\*Corresponding author: Bako A, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Women's Wellness and Research Center (WWRC), Hamad Medical Corporation, PO Box 3050, Doha, Qatar, Tel: +974 33266945, Fax: +974 40261423, E-mail: ABako@hamad.qa

**Citation:** Bako A, Bayo AI, Younis MA (2020) Narrative Review of Challenges and Considerations in Resuming Elective Gynaecology Surgery in Climate of Continuing Community Transmission of Covid-19 in a Low Resource Setting. *J Surg Oper Care* 5(1): 104

## Abstract

**Aim:** The World Health Organization declared COVID-19 a pandemic in March 2020 and since then COVID-19 infection has been reported in all parts of the world. Several Healthcare systems reduced or canceled none emergency or urgent surgeries to effectively manage the pandemic. Recently, the reported incidence of new cases of COVID-19 has reduced in some regions and some Healthcare systems are resuming elective gynecologic surgery. This narrative review explores the challenges of planning and resuming elective gynecologic surgery in a climate of continuing community transmission.

**Methods:** A search of the literature using PubMed and EMBASE was conducted on the challenges of performing and resuming elective gynecology surgery during recovery from Covid-19 pandemic.

**Results:** This narrative review explored the challenges of uncertainty, testing, PPE, staff wellbeing, case scheduling, and medical education during planning and resumption of gynecology surgery. Strategies to mitigate the challenges are discussed.

**Conclusion:** Resuming elective gynecological surgery in a climate of continuing community transmission of COVID-19 is associated with challenges. Continuous community education and practice of preventives measures of social distancing, regular hand hygiene, and facial covering are required to reduced the impact of continuing community transmission on resources. Strategies to mitigate the impact of continuous community transmission on gynecology care, training, and well being of healthcare professionals including flexibility in the delivery of care, the adoption of surgical principles and protocols that optimize the experience of patients with the preservation of PPE and health resources should be adopted.

**Keywords:** Challenges; COVID-19; Gynecology Surgery; Healthcare Professionals; Moral Injury; Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

**List of abbreviations:** PPE: Personal Protective Equipment; HCP: Healthcare Professionals, ICU: Intensive Unit Care

## Introduction

On the 11th March, 2020 The World Health Organization (WHO) declared Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) a pandemic with cases and associated deaths reported in almost every part of the world [1]. Due to the infectivity and associated morbidity and mortality of COVID-19, several Health Systems reduced or canceled none emergency or urgent surgeries including gynecological surgical procedures in order to reduce horizontal transmission to patients and healthcare providers, minimize surgical morbidity and mortality, and preserve health resources to deal with the pandemic. However, due to stabilization of the incidence of new cases of COVID-19 infection some Health systems in Low- and Middle-Income Countries are preparing or have resumed some elective gynecological surgeries.

Continuous community transmission from asymptomatic and presymptomatic people will result in on-going consumption of resources and have an impact on the provision and delivery of elective gynecological surgery [2,3]. Paraiso et al suggested that resumption of elective surgery should be considered if the prevalence rate of COVID-19 is less than 40 cases per 100,000 population [4]. The American College of Surgeons and others have recommended that elective procedures could resume when the rate of

new COVID-19 cases in the community has shown a sustained decline for at least 14 days [5]. A team of Senior Gynecological Surgeons, Hospital administrators, Public Health Specialist, Community laypersons, and other stakeholders such as Professional Associations should decide on the timing of resumption of elective gynecology procedures. Resuming elective gynecological procedures in a climate of continuing community transmission of COVID-19 is associated with challenges. The challenges will differ depending on several factors including the type of health care delivery model that was in place before the pandemic, available personnel and health care resources, resource supply chain, expectations and fears of the community and later surge in infection.

## Objectives

This article seeks to review the challenges of resuming elective gynecological surgery in a climate of continuous community transmission of COVID-19 and suggests strategies to mitigate the risks associated with resuming elective gynecologic surgery.

## Methodology

A search of the literature using PubMed and EMBASE was conducted to identify articles published on the challenges of performing and resuming elective gynecology surgery during recovery from Covid-19 pandemic. Search terms were Covid-19, Coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, and Gynecology Surgery. Additional articles were identified from the reference list of relevant articles.

## Results and Discussion

### Challenges and Mitigation Strategies

**Uncertainty of Some Features of Covid-19:** There are still some evolving changes in the clinical knowledge of COVID-19 and in the diagnostic testing [6]. There is also uncertainty about the effective treatment of COVID-19 and the status of immunity after recovery from the illness. It is not very clear if there could be reinfection or reactivation of COVID-19 after recovery. Indeed, the natural course of the disease is not well known. The gap in knowledge about the various aspects of COVID-19 has resulted in uncertainty that in turn is a challenge in the planning of resumption of elective surgery. The demand for Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) is high worldwide and there is uncertainty in the short term of a regular and constant supply of PPE especially in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Disruption of supply of PPE would pose a significant challenge if there were a surge of cases of COVID-19. Uncertainty about several aspects of Covid-19 has an impact on patients, health care professionals (HCP) and health administrators. Uncertainty was reported as a trans diagnostic mechanism in the development of a variety of psychological disorders such as anxiety, mood, psychotic disorders, aggression, and maladaptive coping styles, avoidance behaviors, and suicidal tendency [7]. Providing community education and social support is likely to reduce the psychological impact of uncertainty. White and Frasure-Smith reported that patients with high social support were found to have less uncertainty and psychological stress than patients with low support [8].

**Community Education:** With continuing community transmission of COVID-19, there is a need to continuously educate the Community to continue to practice disease prevention measures. Maintaining preventive measures over a prolonged period can however, lead to fatigue and non-compliance. The WHO and CDC (USA) recommended physical distancing, regular hand hygiene, and face covering in public places [9-10]. The cooperation of patients is essential when they attend the health facility. The community should be educated that health-screening questions would be asked when they attend the hospital, and reconfiguration of the facility such as with screens (acting as aerosolization barriers) would be noticed. Reorganization of the physical structure to ease physical distancing can be a challenge but essential to protect patients and HCP.

Prospective surgical patients derive benefits from family and relatives' psychosocial support. Preoperative community education should include explaining the need to avoid hospital visits by at risk visitors and relatives or limit contact time. Only essential in-person hospital visits and interaction should be allowed and visitors would be required to continue with infection prevention and control practices to reduce the spread of COVID-19. Preventing or limiting accompanying family or visitors may be seen by some people as denying patients support during a stressful period. In a review of surgical patients, Johnston (1980) reported that a significant level of anxiety was experienced at all phases of the surgical journey [11]. In a prospective and comparative study of 279 patients who had coronary artery bypass surgery (CABS), patients with lower family support preoperatively suffered more anxiety and depression, and hopelessness than those who had more support [12].

**Health Resources and PPE Supply:** It is essential that sufficient PPE, testing kits with reserve capacity to manage continuing community transmission and infection, surgical resources and health care professionals are available, and there is an effective and reliable supply chain of resources before resuming none emergency gynecological surgery. Regular audits of PPE and other consumables should be undertaken as per the local facility's policy to identify when stocks are running low. The timing of resumption of elective gynecological surgery will depend on a variety of factors such as community transmission rate of COVID-19, testing capacity, stock level, and supply chain of PPE.

**Testing of Patients for COVID-19 and False-Negative Results:** It is recommended that surgical patients' COVID-19 status is known before surgery [2]; this will allow the proper allocation and utilization of PPE, scheduling of patients, the protection of other patients and HCP. The timing of preoperative testing can be a challenge; this will depend on the type of testing available

and on the turn around time of test results. Testing should be done close to the time of surgery. In addition, the use of screening questionnaire and temperature monitoring on admission should be employed. The options of the timing of testing include: a) testing and admitting patients up until surgery in order to avoid patients returning to the community where there is still an active community transmission, b) testing within 14 days of surgery and requesting patients to comply with self-isolation plus monitoring of their contacts using web-based Applications with QR code scanning throughout the isolation period [13], c) testing within 48 to 72 hours of surgery with quarantine up until surgery [2]. Preoperative patients who test positive should be transferred to a COVID center as per National and Local policy and those who test negative but with a temperature of more than 37.3oC on admission should be classed as a suspected case and have their procedure postponed for at least 14 days. Balancing the risks of delaying major surgery until recovery from Covid-19 against anxiety and worsening of the gynecological disorder can be a challenge [14]. Relisting patients after recovery should follow the institution policy on cancellation of surgery. The definition of recovery should be based on the criteria agreed by the National infection control body. Patients awaiting admission for surgery should be advised to report any symptom suggestive of COVID-19 and begin self-isolation.

The risk of nosocomial infection is a potential challenge. Reverse transcription polymerase chain (RT-PCR) antigen test for COVID-19 has a sensitivity of up to 98% and false-negative rates ranging between 30 to 50%. Some patients may become positive or symptomatic in the immediate postoperative period. These patients could have had a false-negative preoperative result or they could have been in the presymptomatic phase during testing [15]. Patients who become positive or symptomatic postoperatively should however be treated according to the National and local guidelines. The United State CDC recommends 14 days of self-isolation for any confirmed COVID-19 infection that does not require hospitalization [16].

**Testing of Health Care Professionals:** HCPs are at risk of contracting COVID-19 in the community or in the hospital setting. Healthcare staff can contract COVID-19 from patients with preoperative false-negative COVID-19 test results. The frequency of testing of HCPs is a challenge because of testing capacity, variable incubation period of the infection (5-14 days), and the window of infectivity of the virus. Testing of healthcare staff every two weeks have been suggested by some professional associations [2]. HCP with false-negative results could lead to horizontal transmission in the hospital. Without screening, infected but asymptomatic HCP could shed the virus and expose patients and other HCPs to the risk of contracting COVID-19. Daily screening strategies such as temperature monitoring, social and physical distancing, regular hand hygiene, and the wearing of face mask needs to continue.

Healthcare organizations should have a policy on staff exposure to suspected or confirmed COVID positive patients. The Washington State Department of Health mandates that anyone who had close contact with a patient with confirmed COVID-19 while not wearing proper PPE self-isolate at home for 14 days [17]. Staff isolation has the potential of putting considerable strain on the staff available to provide care.

## Gynecological Procedural Challenges

### Scheduling of Cases

Some patients would have been on the waiting list for some time; case prioritization and scheduling are a challenge of when resuming elective surgery. Where appropriate, none surgical option of treatment should be employed before scheduling for surgery. Ethical-based criteria that were in place before the pandemic should be utilized in case prioritization [18-20]. A prioritization scoring system can be utilized during the initial phase of resumption of surgical activities [21], and day-case and office procedures are first considered over complex cases to reduce inpatient stay and the risk of exposure to patients, preserve health resources and reduce the risk of admission to the ICU [2].

**Preoperative Phase:** Adopting Web-based preoperative assessment (POA) and virtual health education will avoid hospital visits [22]. Preoperative investigations should be consolidated and organized on the same day to decrease the number of in-person visits to the hospital, and where possible Point of Care testing should be performed on the day of admission. There is a need to inform patients of individualized surgical risks of COVID-19 and documentation of the patient's consent should follow National and Local guidelines. Electronic signature and remote verbal consent with written confirmation on the day of admission are options to consider. Recording and storing of remote visual and audio consultation should comply with the law and National professional regulatory guidance [23]. The anesthetic preoperative physical examination can be performed on admission. Whilst in the health facility, patients should continue to observe infection control measures.

**Intraoperative Phase:** Perioperative WHO checklist should be modified and carried out whilst maintaining physical distancing. Operating Room (OR) staff should put on full PPE for protection and prevention of transmission of infection [24]. However, communication with full PPE may be a challenge; staff may encounter difficulty hearing each other while donning full PPE. Clear communication and checking of understanding are important in order to minimize patient risk. Various professional associations have published guidelines on strategies for minimizing aerosolization during surgery [2,25,26]. Sedation and regional analgesia should be considered to reduce aerosolization during intubation. Time for disinfection between cases and the recommendation of waiting for about 15 minutes after intubation before commencing surgery, and permitting limited staff presence in the OR during intubation and extubation to allow air filtration of potentially dispersed aerosol will have an impact on the number of cases that can be listed and performed in a theater session; these would impact the length of time it would take to treat the patients on the waiting list.

**Unexpected ICU Admission:** The need for postoperative ICU admission and the use of ventilators should be considered when planning resumption of gynecological surgery. Some patients may require unplanned admission to the ICU as a result of unanticipated operative complication. Unplanned admission to the ICU would add to ICU and health resources utilization. Performing less complex cases and by experienced high-volume surgeons and day-case surgeries will reduce the incidence of unplanned ICU admission.

### **Postoperative and Recovery Phase**

Enhanced recovery principles and practices should be adopted to optimize the experience of patients across all the phases of surgery [27]. Same-day discharge or short postoperative inpatient stay should be encouraged. Advice on discharge with written information on when and where to seek advice should be given to reduce hospital visits. Avoiding circumstances after discharge that increase contracting COVID-19 postoperatively can be a challenge in a climate of continuing community transmission. Contracting Covid-19 infection in the perioperative period is associated with a high chance of ICU admission when compared with paired patients who did not have surgery [28]. Patients should adopt post-operative self-isolation and physical distancing, and everyone providing home help and support should adopt preventive measures to avoid familial transmission [29]. Virtual monitoring and consultation can be utilized for postoperative review to minimize in-person contact. In-person postoperative visit should be for complications that require a physical examination. Postoperative febrile illness may be a challenge because post-operative fever might signify postoperative complication or be a symptom of COVID-19; its management could pose a challenge. Knowing where to direct a post-operative patient for review can be a challenge because the patient's COVID status might have changed. Administering epidemiological questionnaire and contact tracing using a web-based application can be used as a screening tool.

Apart from the surgical experience of the patient, the impact of the community's experience of COVID-19 on the mental health of the surgical patients needs to be considered in planning the pre-and postoperative care because adverse social and traumatic experiences due to the pandemic and surgical experience can result in negative individual life experiences and mental disorder [30]. It is important to provide mental wellness support postoperatively.

### **Medical Education**

The surgical training environment in gynecology has changed due to social and physical distancing, redeployment of trainees, isolation of trainees exposed to or recovering from COVID-19, reductions in the number of patients seen in the hospital, and the new model of the delivery of care. These have resulted in a gap in the delivery of gynecological education. The pandemic and continuing preventive social distancing and reduced in-person clinical contacts have also affected undergraduate medical education [31]. Limiting the numbers of staff in theater to essential staff will have an impact on the learning experience of surgical trainees. The impact of the Pandemic on the educational experience of trainees is described by Potts Jr 3rd [32]. Experiential learning and maintaining case log could be a challenge. AAGL and other associations in a joint statement recommend that the allocation of minimally invasive outpatient procedure cases to trainees should be limited [33]. Procedures performed by experienced surgeons are associated with fewer complications, readmission rate, and ultimately less utilization of PPE and resources [34,35]. Advanced trainees can however assist or perform selected surgeries under the direct supervision of experienced surgeons.

Several teaching and learning strategies have been proposed to mitigate the challenges of COVID-19. These include: telemedicine clinics, virtual small group discussion, Webinar in place of in-person lectures, flipped classroom model, online practice questions, procedural simulation, virtual facilitated use of surgical videos, tele-supervision, and virtual e-portfolio assessment and feedback [32,36-38]. Restructuring trainees in different stages of training into care teams can facilitate peer learning and support [39].

### **Ethics**

The resumption of elective gynecologic surgery, case prioritization, allocation, and use of resources should follow basic ethical values or principles with stakeholders' involvement [40]. Lack of ethical consideration when planning resumption of elective activities could result in a confused understanding of roles and responsibility, reduced morale of HCP, reputational damage with loss of public trust, misinformation, blame, and stigmatization of parts of the community [41-43].

### **Psychosocial Challenges**

Physicians and indeed other HCP have been described as being front-line workers in the war against COVID -19. Andrew Jameton described the concept of moral distress in returning war veterans in 1980 [44]. The experience of ongoing stress and other psychological problems referred to as moral injury has been described in health care professionals and other professionals [45-52]. In a systematic review of moral distress among healthcare professionals, Lamiani et al suggested that moral distress is related to the work environment [53]. Limitation of knowledge of Covid-19 and assertiveness, perceived powerlessness, and self-doubt has been shown to contribute to moral distress [54]. Moral distress in HCP is a challenge when resuming elective surgery. Several authors have reported on the negative impact of moral distress including poor job satisfaction, poor delivery of care and burnout [55-57]. A strategy to reduce moral injury includes the development of team collaboration and engagement of staff in planning care, a positive ethical climate, and wellbeing support. These measures would improve job satisfaction and retention of staff after the Pandemic [58].



## Medico-legal Challenge

There is a potential legal challenge if HCP contract COVID infection whilst working with inadequate PPE. HCPs are sometimes required to make difficult decisions about the care of patients in a climate of rapid change and evolving evidence that may expose them to litigation. Health Authorities should provide HCPs with liability protection and accept liability for good-faith medical treatment and judgments [59]. Risk-averse HCPs who lack immunity from prosecution could develop fear and anxiety that may hinder their response to treating patients and compromise public welfare [60]. The fear of the stigma of anxiety and psychological disorders arising from the pressure and stress of working during the pandemic and of contracting the illness may prevent some individuals from seeking help thereby putting themselves and their colleagues, family, and the community at risk. Healthcare practitioners who feel that their clinical performance could be negatively affected by their feelings should consult their occupational health or medical staff physicians as required by their Human Resource policy for objective advice.

## Second Wave Infection

Just as the experience of the Spanish flu pandemic (1918), many countries or regions are experiencing surge in community transmission of Covid-19. This can result in logistic pressure on PPE, health resources, and testing in low to medium resources countries. Health systems should use models to guide when to reduce or stop elective surgery when there is a second wave of infection. The prediction of the second wave of infection can however be difficult because of the limitation of strategies of predicting transmission. Using modeling approaches to predict the future is a challenge because the predictability of models “is influenced by the quality of the data and the number of compartments used in the model” [61]. The decision to stop elective gynecology surgery should be taken and reviewed by the National COVID Incident committee in collaboration with local Clinical governance committees and the involvement of representatives of lay members of the community.

## Restriction of Air Travel

Testing before boarding the plane, health screening questionnaires, testing at the point of entry, and quarantine of visitors would place a burden on existing testing capability and other health resources. A false-negative result before boarding and at the point of entry [62]. Might result in gynecological surgery (e.g. symptom emergency surgery) being performed on patients who are asymptomatic at the time of surgery but who however develop Symptoms post operatively resulting in higher risk of admission to ICU or mortality [63].

## Clinical Governance

Since treatment guidance and policies are likely to change periodically, it is essential that current clinical information and access to key resources are provided to health care professionals in order to facilitate the provision of high-quality care. Lack of access to current evidence might result in patients with Covid-19 receiving suboptimal care. There should be a clear pathway for emergency and elective gynecological surgeries. Health systems should have an Incident Command structure that will continuously monitor and manage the different phases of the pandemic including monitoring of community transmission to predict the second wave of infection. Monitoring of community transmission will facilitate planning and determination of when to pause elective surgery. However, predicting community transmission rate may become difficult if people become fatigue of the preventive measures resulting in a change in their behavior. Health facility's local governance committee should collaborate with the National Incident command committee in monitoring the various phases of surgery including operative and postoperative complications, ICU admissions, readmission rate, and staff exposure to COVID-19, utilization of PPE and health resources. The outcome of the monitoring would guide the necessary modification of the delivery of gynecological surgery.

## Conclusion

Resuming elective gynecological surgeries in a climate of continuing community transmission of COVID-19 is associated with challenges. It requires continuous community education and continuous practice of preventives measures of physical distancing, regular hand hygiene, and facial covering. There is a need for flexibility in the application of other strategies of reducing community transmission including testing, isolation, contact tracing, and treatment of positive individuals. Strategies to mitigate the impact of continuous community transmission on gynecology care and training, and well being of healthcare professionals should be adopted. There should be continuous monitoring of community transmission, with flexibility in the delivery of care, and the adoption of surgical principles and protocols that optimize the experience of patients with the preservation of PPE and health resources.

## References

1. World Health Organization (WHO) (2020) WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
2. Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, Kimball A, James AM, et al. (2020) Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections and transmission in a skilled nursing facility. *N Engl J Med* 382.
3. Gandhi M, Yokoe D, Havlir DV (2020) Asymptomatic Transmission, the Achilles' Heel of Current Strategies to Control Covid-19, *N Engl J Med* 382: 2158-60.
4. Paraiso MFR, Brown J, Abrão MS, Dionisi H, Rosenfield RB, et al. (2020) Surgical and Clinical Reactivation for Elective Procedures during the COVID Era: A Global Perspective. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 27: 1188-95.

5. American College of Surgeons (2020) Joint Statement: Roadmap for Resuming Elective Surgery after COVID-19 Pandemic. American College of Surgeons, USA.
6. Abbasi-Oshaghi E, Mirzaei F, Farahani F, Khodadadi I, Tayebinia H (2020) Diagnosis and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Laboratory, PCR, and chest CT imaging findings. *Int J Surg* 79: 143-53.
7. Flores A, López FJ, Vervliet B, Cobos PL (2018) Intolerance of uncertainty as a vulnerability factor for excessive and inflexible avoidance behavior. *Behav Res Ther* 104: 34-43.
8. White RE, Frasur-Smith N (1995) Uncertainty and psychologic stress after coronary angioplasty and coronary bypass surgery. *Heart & Lung* 24: 19-27.
9. World Health Organization (WHO) (2020) Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2020) What you should know about COVID-19 to protect yourself and others. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA.
11. Johnston M (1980) Anxiety in surgical patients. *Psychol Med* 10: 145-52.
12. Okkonen E, Vanhanen H, (2006) Family Support, Living Alone, and Subjective Health of a Patient in Connection with a Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery. *Heart & Lung* 35: 234-44.
13. Perez-Alba E, Nuzzolo-Shihadeh L, Espinosa-Mora JE, Camacho-Ortiz A (2020) Use of self-administered surveys through QR code and same center telemedicine in a walk-in clinic in the era of COVID-19. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2020: 10.1093/jamia/ocaa054.
14. Myles PS, Maswime S (2020) Mitigating the risks of surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 396: 2-3.
15. Tong ZD, Tang A, Li KF, Li P, Wang H, et al. (2020) Potential Presymptomatic Transmission of SARS-CoV-2, Zhejiang Province, China, 2020. *Emerg Infect Dis* 26: 1052-4.
16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2019) Interim guidance for implementing home care of people not requiring hospitalization for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA.
17. Washington State Department of Health (2020) What to do if you were potentially exposed to someone with confirmed coronavirus disease (COVID-19), USA.
18. ACS, ASA, AORN, AHA (2020) Joint Statement: Roadmap for Resuming Elective Surgery after COVID-19 Pandemic, American Society of Anesthesiologists.
19. SGS, AAGL, ASRM, AUGS, IGCS, et al. (2020) Joint Statement on Re-introduction of Hospital and Office-based Procedures in the COVID-19 Climate for the Practicing Urogynecologist and Gynecologist. Society of Gynecologic Surgeons.
20. American College of Surgeons (2020) COVID-19: Recommendations for Management of Elective Surgical Procedures. American College of Surgeons, USA.
21. Prachand V, Milner R, Angelos P, Posner MC, Fung JJ, et al. (2020) Medically-Necessary, Time-Sensitive Procedures: A scoring system to ethically and efficiently manage resource scarcity and provider risk during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JACS* in press, USA.
22. Goodhart IM, Andrzejewski JC, Jones GL, Berthoud M, Dennis A, et al. (2017) Patient-completed, preoperative web-based anaesthetic assessment questionnaire (electronic Personal Assessment Questionnaire PreOperative): Development and validation. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 34: 221-8.
23. GMC (2013) Making and using visual and audio recordings of patients. General Medical Council, UK.
24. COVID-19: AAGL, AUGS, IGCS, SGS, SGO & CanSAGE (2020) Joint Statement on Minimally Invasive Gynecologic Surgery. AUGS
25. American College of Surgeons (2020) COVID-19: Recommendations for Management of Elective Surgical Procedures, American College of Surgeons, USA.
26. ESGE (2020) ESGE Recommendations on Gynaecological Laparoscopic Surgery during COVID-19 Outbreak. ESGE, Belgium.
27. Pache B, Hübner M, Jurt J, Demartines N, Grass F (2017) Minimally invasive surgery and enhanced recovery after surgery: The ideal combination? *J Surg Oncol* 116: 613-6.
28. Lei S, Jiang F, Su W, Chen C, Chen J, et al. (2020) Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients undergoing surgeries during the incubation period of COVID-19 infection. *EClinicalMedicine* 21: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100331.
29. Zhang J, Tian S, Lou J, Chen Y (2020) Familial cluster of COVID-19 infection from an asymptomatic. *Crit Care* 24: 119.
30. Kesner L, Horáček J (2020) Three Challenges That the COVID-19 Pandemic Represents for Psychiatry. *Br J Psychiatry* 1-5.
31. Patil NG, Chan Y, Yan H (2003) SARS and its effect on medical education in Hong Kong. *Med Educ* 37: 1127-8.
32. Potts JR 3<sup>rd</sup> (2020) Residency and Fellowship Program Accreditation: Effects of the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic *J Am Coll Surg* 230: 1094-7.
33. COVID-19: AAGL, AUGS, IGCS, SGS, SGO & CanSAGE (2020) Joint Statement on Minimally Invasive Gynecologic Surgery, AUGS.
34. Sung VW, Rogers ML, Myers DL, Clark MA (2006) Impact of hospital and surgeon volumes on outcomes following pelvic reconstructive surgery in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 195: 1778-83.
35. Bristow RE, Zahurak ML, Diaz-Montes TP, Giuntoli RL, Armstrong DK (2009) Impact of surgeon and hospital ovarian cancer surgical case volume on in-hospital mortality and related short-term outcomes. *Gynecol Oncol* 115: 334-8.
36. Chick RC, Clifton GT, Peace KM, Propper BW, Hale DF, et al. (2020) Using Technology to Maintain the Education of Residents During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Surg Educ* 77: 729-32.
37. Forbes RC, Solorzano CC, Concepcion BP (2020) Surgical telemedicine here to stay: More support from a randomized controlled trial on postoperative surgery visits. *Am J Surg* 2020: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2020.03.033.
38. Plancher KD, Shanmugam JP, Petterson SC (2020) The Changing Face of Orthopedic Education: Searching for the New Reality After COVID-19. *Arthrosc Sports Med Rehabil* 2020: 10.1016/j.asmr.2020.04.007.
39. Nassar AH, Zern NK, McIntyre LK, Lyng D, Smith CA, et al. Emergency Restructuring of a General Surgery Residency Program During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: The University of Washington Experience. *JAMA Surg* 2020: doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2020.1219.
40. Thompson AK, Faith K, Gibson JL, Upshur RE (2006) Pandemic influenza preparedness: an ethical framework to guide decision-making. *BMC Med Ethics*. 2006: 10.1186/1472-6939-7-12.
41. Bernstein M, Hawryluck L (2003) Challenging beliefs and ethical concepts: the collateral damage of SARS. *Crit Care* 7: 269-71.
42. Singer PA, Benatar SR, Bernstein M, Daar AS, Dickens BM, et al. (2003) Ethics and SARS: lessons from Toronto. *BMJ* 327: 1342-4.
43. Bell JA, Hyland S, DePellegrin T, Upshur RE, Bernstein M, et al. (2004) SARS and hospital priority setting: a qualitative case study and evaluation. *BMC Health Serv Res* 4: 36.
44. Jameton A (1984) *Nursing Practice: The Ethical Issues*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, USA.

45. Austin W, Rankel M, Kagan L, Bergum V, Lernermeier G (2005) To stay or to go, to speak or stay silent, to act or not to act: moral distress as experienced by psychologists. *Ethics Behav* 15: 197-212.
46. Sporrang SK, Höglund AT, Arnetz B (2006) Measuring moral distress in pharmacy and clinical practice. *Nurs Ethics* 13: 416-27.
47. Førde R, Aasland OG (2008) Moral distress among Norwegian doctors. *J Med Ethics* 34: 521-5.
48. Wiggleton C, Petrusa E, Loomis K, Tarpley T, Tarpley M, et al. (2010) Medical students' experiences of moral distress: development of a web-based survey. *Acad Med* 85: 111-7.
49. Komarovskaya I, Maguen S, McCaslin SE, Metzler TJ, Madan A, et al. (2011) The impact of killing and injuring others on mental health symptoms among police officers. *J Psychiatr Res* 45: 1332-6.
50. Prottas D (2013) Relationships among employee perception of their manager's behavioral integrity, moral distress, and employee attitudes and well-being. *J Business Ethics* 113: 51-60.
51. Backholm K, Idås T (2015) Ethical dilemmas, work-related guilt, and posttraumatic stress reactions of news journalists covering the terror attack in Norway in 2011. *J Trauma Stress* 28: 142-8.
52. Whitehead PB, Herbertson RK, Hamric AB, Epstein EG, Fisher JM (2015) Moral distress among healthcare professionals: report of an institution-wide survey. *J Nurs Scholarsh* 47: 117-25.
53. Lamiani G, Borghi L, Argentero P (2017) When healthcare professionals cannot do the right thing: A systematic review of moral distress and its correlates. *J Health Psychol* 22: 51-67.
54. Hamric AB, Borchers CT, Epstein EG (2012) Development and testing of an instrument to measure moral distress in healthcare professionals. *AJOB Prim Res* 3: 1-9.
55. de Veer AJ, Francke AL, Struijs A, Willems DL (2013) Determinants of moral distress in daily nursing practice: a cross sectional correlational questionnaire survey. *Int J Nurs Stud* 50: 100-8.
56. Hamaideh SH (2014) Moral distress and its correlates among mental health nurses in Jordan. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* 23: 33-41.
57. Karanikola MN, Albarran JW, Drigo E, Giannakopoulou M, Kalafati M, et al. (2014) Moral stress, autonomy and nurse-physician collaboration among intensive care unit nurses in Italy. *J Nurs Manag* 22: 472-84.
58. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, et al. (2020) Factors Associated with Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020: doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976.
59. Rhyne JA (2007) Likely ethical, legal, and professional challenges physicians will face during an influenza pandemic. *N C Med J* 68: 51-3.
60. Hoffman S (2008) Responders' Responsibility: Liability and Immunity in Public Health Emergencies. *Georgetown Law Journal* 96: 1913.
61. Estrada E (2020) COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2. Modeling the present, looking at the future. *Phys Rep* 869: 1-51.
62. Wilson ME, Chen LH (2020) Travellers give wings to novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *J Travel Med* 27: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa015>.
63. COVIDSurg Collaborative (2020) Mortality and pulmonary complications in patients undergoing surgery with perioperative SARS-CoV-2 infection: an international cohort study. *The Lancet* 396: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31182-X.

Submit your next manuscript to Annex Publishers and benefit from:

- ▶ Easy online submission process
- ▶ Rapid peer review process
- ▶ Online article availability soon after acceptance for Publication
- ▶ Open access: articles available free online
- ▶ More accessibility of the articles to the readers/researchers within the field
- ▶ Better discount on subsequent article submission

Submit your manuscript at

<http://www.annepublishers.com/paper-submission.php>