Journal of Case Reports and Studies
Volume 13 | Issue 2
ISSN: 2348-9820

Case Reports Open Access

Near-Fatal ABO Incompatibility in an Elderly Patient Undergoing Hip Surgery: A Case Report Highlighting Critical Transfusion Protocol Vulnerabilities

Xiaochen Ji*

Department of Anesthesiology, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Cangzhou, 061014, China

***Corresponding Author:** Xiaochen Ji, Department of Anesthesiology, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Cangzhou, 061014, China, Tel.: +8613803179572, E-mail: zxyyjxc1985@126.com

Citation: Xiaochen Ji (2025) Near-Fatal ABO Incompatibility in an Elderly Patient Undergoing Hip Surgery: A Case Report Highlighting Critical Transfusion Protocol Vulnerabilities, J Case Rep Stud 13(2): 201

Received Date: October 16, 2025 **Accepted Date:** October 24, 2025 **Published Date:** October 29, 2025

Abstract

Blood transfusion errors, particularly in elderly patients with complex medical histories, pose significant risks in transfusion medicine. This case report details an elderly female patient with a neck of femur fracture who encountered a near-fatal transfusion due to ABO incompatibility, highlighting the critical need for improved safety protocols in blood transfusions. The incident was compounded by the patient's requirement for anticoagulant bridging and the necessity for interdisciplinary collaboration, illustrating the complexities involved in managing patients with multiple risk factors. This case underscores the diagnostic challenges stemming from inadequate blood type documentation, which nearly resulted in a transfusion error that was fortunately averted through timely verification by the anesthesiologist. Such incidents illuminate the frequency of human error and communication lapses in transfusion practices, emphasizing the necessity for robust patient identification and blood type verification systems. The implications of this case extend beyond individual patient safety; it serves as an essential learning opportunity for healthcare providers to enhance transfusion protocols and foster a culture of safety. The literature supports the need for comprehensive reforms, including the implementation of technology-driven solutions and ongoing education for medical personnel regarding blood type verification. This case ultimately exemplifies the vulnerabilities present in current transfusion practices and reinforces the urgent call for systemic changes to safeguard against similar incidents in the future, particularly for high-risk populations such as the elderly.

Introduction

Blood transfusion errors represent a critical concern in the field of transfusion medicine, particularly within the context of elderly patients who often present with complex medical histories and comorbidities. The case at hand involves an elderly female patient with a neck of femur fracture who experienced a near-fatal transfusion error due to ABO incompatibility. This situation underscores the urgent need to enhance the safety protocols surrounding blood transfusions, especially in vulnerable populations. The incidence of transfusion-related complications remains significant, with data indicating that human error is a major contributor to these adverse events, particularly in the elderly demographic[1, 2].

Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a serious and potentially life-threatening condition often resulting from venous thromboembolism, a complication that is particularly prevalent in elderly patients undergoing surgical interventions such as hip arthroplasty [3]. In this case, the patient's condition was further complicated by the need for anticoagulant bridging and the necessity of interdisciplinary collaboration among healthcare providers. The complexity of this case exemplifies the challenges inherent in managing patients with multiple risk factors, as the elderly often present with a higher burden of comorbidities that can complicate both diagnosis and treatment [4].

The diagnostic challenges faced in this case were significant, as the lack of confirmed blood type information in the patient's medical records led to a critical lapse in safety protocols. The transfusion error was ultimately averted due to a timely verification by the anesthesiologist, highlighting the importance of thorough verification processes prior to blood administration. Previous studies have indicated that inadequate communication and documentation are frequent contributors to transfusion errors, underscoring the need for robust systems to ensure accurate patient identification and blood type verification [5, 6].

This case holds substantial value as it not only illustrates the potential for catastrophic outcomes stemming from systemic flaws in hospital protocols but also serves as a pivotal learning opportunity for improving patient safety measures. The near-miss incident accentuates the importance of implementing rigorous data management and verification processes within healthcare settings. By analyzing this case, healthcare professionals can glean insights into the critical need for reform in transfusion protocols, aiming to prevent similar occurrences in the future. Moreover, the complexity of the patient's condition necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to care, emphasizing the importance of collaboration among various healthcare specialties to enhance patient outcomes and safety [3, 7].

In summary, the transfusion error experienced by the patient not only highlights the systemic vulnerabilities present in current blood transfusion practices but also reinforces the necessity for comprehensive reforms aimed at bolstering patient safety. Implementing these changes is crucial to ensure that healthcare systems can better protect patients, particularly those at greater risk, such as the elderly, thereby enhancing the overall quality of care provided.

Case Presentation

Patient Information

This case report outlines a significant medical safety incident that nearly resulted in a disaster due to a transfusion error. The patient is an elderly female who suffered a femoral neck fracture, leading to a pulmonary embolism. Following thrombolysis and the placement of an inferior vena cava filter, she was prepared for a total hip replacement surgery. Her medical condition was complex, necessitating anticoagulation bridging and multidisciplinary collaboration.

Clinical Findings

On the day of the surgery, the surgical team prepared for transfusion based on the blood transfusion request form, which indicated an O+ blood type. However, a key piece of information regarding the patient's blood type was missing from her medical records. Just before the transfusion was about to commence, the anesthesiologist discovered, through reviewing the anesthetic record, that the patient's historical blood type was AB+. This crucial intervention allowed for the immediate cessation of the transfusion process, effectively averting a serious ABO incompatibility error.

Diagnostic Assessment

The root cause analysis revealed that this incident was not merely a singular error but rather a manifestation of systemic flaws akin to the "Swiss cheese model" of accidents, where multiple defensive layers failed in succession. The direct cause of the incident was identified as an error in the blood transfusion laboratory, which provided incorrect blood type information, misidentifying the patient's AB+ blood type as O+. Systemic issues included the absence of reliable and complete source data in the medical records, particularly the missing blood type, which hampered verification processes in the operating room. There were also significant deficiencies in the verification procedures, which relied excessively on a single source, thus failing to ensure cross-verification with reliable data.

Therapeutic Intervention

Post-incident, the patient did not receive any incompatible blood, and her vital signs remained stable. The transfusion department acknowledged their error and initiated corrective actions; however, these measures were not effectively implemented in subsequent practices, indicating a persistent risk of similar incidents. The communication and collaboration between departments-namely clinical, anesthesiology, and transfusion services-were inadequate, leading to disjointed processes in the collection, testing, and documentation of blood samples. Follow-up and Outcomes

To prevent such incidents from recurring, it is imperative to strengthen data management systems and establish multiple interlocking safety defenses. Recommendations include mandatory blood type verification for all hospitalized patients, with clear electronic documentation requirements. Additionally, implementing a dual verification process prior to transfusion, involving independent checks from qualified personnel, is essential. Furthermore, enhancing communication flows between departments, establishing clear refusal protocols for blood transfusion requests lacking proper documentation, and fostering a safety culture through ongoing education and accountability measures are critical for improving patient safety. This incident serves as a critical reminder that reliance on individual vigilance is insufficient; robust systemic processes must be established and maintained. Hospital management must leverage this opportunity for profound systemic reform to ensure comprehensive patient safety.

Discussion

The case presented highlights significant vulnerabilities within the transfusion process, particularly in the context of blood type incompatibility, which can lead to severe adverse events. Similar cases have been documented in the literature, underscoring the critical need for robust protocols in blood transfusion practices. For instance, a retrospective study identified that human errors, such as misidentification of blood bags, accounted for a substantial proportion of transfusion errors, emphasizing the importance of meticulous verification processes [8]. Another investigation revealed that inadequate communication within healthcare teams could exacerbate these errors, leading to potentially life-threatening circumstances [9]. This aligns with findings from a comprehensive survey that indicated the prevalence of clerical errors, where mislabeling and documentation failures significantly contributed to transfusion-related complications [10, 11].

Furthermore, the literature suggests that implementing technology-driven solutions, such as barcode systems, can substantially mitigate the risk of transfusion errors [12]. A study demonstrated that the introduction of RFID technology in transfusion management significantly reduced the incidence of errors by ensuring that only verified personnel could administer blood products [13]. Additionally, ongoing education and training for healthcare providers regarding the critical nature of blood type verification are essential to foster a culture of safety within medical institutions [14, 15]. Collectively, these findings advocate for a systemic approach to enhance patient safety, emphasizing the necessity for rigorous protocols and interdepartmental communication to prevent similar incidents in the future.

The case presented underscores the critical importance of recognizing diagnostic traps, particularly in the context of blood transfusion errors stemming from incomplete patient information. The absence of a documented blood type, as seen in this incident, exemplifies how missing data can precipitate severe clinical ramifications. This finding resonates with the literature, where diagnostic pitfalls due to insufficient information have been noted as significant contributors to adverse events in medical practice [16, 17]. The necessity for comprehensive patient data collection prior to surgical interventions is emphasized, as it serves as a foundational element in preventing similar incidents. Moreover, the literature highlights the pressing need for healthcare institutions to establish robust data management protocols, ensuring that critical information is not overlooked [18, 19].

Furthermore, the systemic root cause analysis of this case reveals multiple layers of failure within healthcare practices, aligning with the "Swiss Cheese Model" of accident causation[[20]](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31560420/). This model elucidates that errors often result not from isolated incidents but from a convergence of systemic weaknesses, including communication breakdowns and inadequate verification processes [21, 22]. Emphasizing interdepartmental collaboration is paramount; the establishment of clear communication protocols could mitigate the risk of similar occurrences in the future. By fostering a culture of safety that prioritizes thorough verification and accountability, healthcare institutions can significantly enhance patient safety outcomes [23, 24]. Continuous education and training on the critical nature of blood type verification should be a priority, reinforcing the need for adherence to established safety protocols [25].

The analysis of this case reveals profound insights into the systemic vulnerabilities that can compromise patient safety in transfusion practices. The near-miss incident not only highlights the catastrophic potential of blood type incompatibility but also serves as a reminder of the critical importance of comprehensive data management and verification processes. Reflecting on the case, it is evident that the absence of documented blood type information precipitated a situation fraught with risk, underscoring the need for rigorous protocols to ensure that all patient data is accurately recorded and readily available prior to surgical interventions. The literature supports this observation, noting that lapses in documentation are significant contributors to transfusion-related errors. Thus, the implementation of enhanced data management systems, coupled with the establishment of clear communication channels among healthcare providers, is paramount to prevent similar incidents in the future.

Furthermore, the systemic root cause analysis aligns with established models of accident causation, illustrating that errors often stem from a convergence of weaknesses rather than isolated incidents. This case serves as a critical learning opportunity, emphasizing the necessity for ongoing education and training in blood type verification protocols among medical personnel. By fostering a culture of safety that prioritizes meticulous verification and accountability, healthcare institutions can significantly mitigate the risks associated with transfusion errors. Additionally, the promotion of interdepartmental collaboration and the integration of technology-driven solutions, such as barcode systems, may further enhance the efficacy of transfusion practices. In summary, this case not only illustrates the urgent need for systemic reforms in transfusion protocols but also reinforces the imperative for a comprehensive approach to patient safety that encompasses data management, communication, and education.

References

1. Tsubaki K, Nagao A (2003) Examination for prevent of blood transfusion errors. Rinsho Byori. 51: 146-9.

2. Gubanova MN, Kopchenko TG, Zhiburt EB (2010) Analysis of errors in transfusion of blood]. Vestn Khir Im I I Grek, 169: 61-3.

3. ERROR in blood-transfusion. Lancet (1952), 1: 879.

4. SINGER SE (2021) Possible errors and complications in blood transfusions. Med Sestra, 10: 24-9.

5. Torsiglieri W, Riccò D, Sassi M (1985) Errors in blood transfusion. Causes and prevention]. Acta Biomed Ateneo Parmense, 56:13-22.

6. GRAVEN SN (1960) ABO blood group incompatibility. J Iowa State Med Soc. 50: 69-77.

7. Sakuma M, Sugimura K, Nakamura M, et al. (2007) Unusual pulmonary embolism: septic pulmonary embolism and amniotic fluid embolism. Circ J, 71: 772-5.

8. Murakami J (2003) Present state of transfusion errors. Rinsho Byori, 51: 43-9.

9. Li Y, Zu X, Hu X, Zhao C, Mo M, Fan B (2021) Competing endogenous RNA network analysis reveals pivotal ceRNAs in bladder urothelial carcinoma. Transl Androl Urol, 10: 797-808.

10. Taki T, Kibayashi K (2014) A simple ABO genotyping by PCR using sequence-specific primers with mismatched nucleotides. Leg Med (Tokyo), 16: 168-72.

11. Koistinen J (2004) Blood transfusion safety. Duodecim, 120: 902-4.

12. Akbiyik A, Sari D, TaŞkiran N (2021) Student Nurses' Assessment of Medical Errors. Creat Nurs, 27: 131-7.

13. Hsu JM, Yu YC, Hou TW, Teng WG, Chiang TC, Yang MC (2016) A Multi-Constraint Scheme with Authorized Mechanism for the Patient Safety. J Med Syst, 40: 123.

14. Damodar S, Shanley R, MacMillan M, Ustun C, Weisdorf D (2017) Donor-to-Recipient ABO Mismatch Does Not Impact Outcomes of Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation Regardless of Graft Source. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant, 23: 795-804.

15. Lai D, Chen D, Chen X, et al. (2025) Effect of major ABO blood group mismatched HSCT on blood transfusion and clinical outcomes in AA patients. Ann Hematol, 104: 1931-47.

16. ROGUSKI J (2014) Diagnostic pitfalls. Pol Tyg Lek. 14: 2287-9.

17. Daniel F (2003) Diagnostic pitfalls. Ann Dermatol Venereol, 130: 121-31.

18. Stecker MS (2007) Root cause analysis. J Vasc Interv Radiol, 18: 5-8.

19. Root cause analysis (2012) Prog Transplant, 22: 227-8.

20. Root Cause Analysis Gone Wrong. AORN J (2019) 110: 468-70.

21. Spath P (1988) Medical errors: root cause analysis. OR Manager, 14: 38.

22. Handley CC (2000) Quality improvement through root cause analysis. Hosp Mater Manage Q, 21: 74-8.

23. Yang A, Nam J, Kim M, Choo KK (2014) Provably-secure (Chinese government) SM2 and simplified SM2 key exchange protocols. ScientificWorldJournal, 2014: 825984.

24. Jones RW, Despotou G (2016) Root Cause Analysis and Health Informatics. Stud Health Technol Inform, 226: 131-4.

25. Rockall A, Visser JJ, Garcia-Villar C, et al. (2025) Feedback in radiology: Essential tool for improving user experience and providing value-based care. Insights Imaging, 16: 132.